Date: 26.10.2019 Ref: SwES004-1

	1.01. 0	/W20004-1
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Planning Policy 28 October 2019 08:54 FW: Steeton-with-Eastburn Parish Council and Silsden To-Public Consultation	own Council: Regulation 16
For logging		
Original Message From: Sent: 26 October 2019 11 To: Planning Policy Subject: Steeton-with-Eas	:22 htburn Parish Council and Silsden Town Council: Regulation 16 - F	Public Consultation
I have lived in Steeton for rural and urban attraction	23 years, and it is a lovely part of the country: pleasant in its owns nearby.	n right, and convenient fo
make access to Silsden sat	est important need we have at the moment is a pedestrian bridge fer and easier (and more convenient for both pedestrians and m re, and nothing has come of it - it needs to be the priority.	
Public transport needs to	be a lot better, particularly bus services -	av. hut getting there from
Steeton without a car is a		ay, out getting there non
has to cross a busy road to each other than some in s ar kids to get wet in rainy we	the point that Steeton Primary school is "split site". This is true, o get from one building to another - it isn't unsafe - and the build chools which seemingly have "single site" accommodation. Indican confirm that, say, Nessfield Primary in Keighley has building than those of Steeton Primary School. This is not an urge	dings are much closer to ngs further apart (causing
attention, in my opinion.		7

PS - I do think that you need to make it easier for residents to reply to "consultation" notices; the faff I've had sending this might lead a more cynical person to believe that such consultations were merely paying lip-service to a legal requirement, and that you were doing your damnededst to make sure that you got as few replies as possible.