

Objection No	Objector No	Objector Desc	Reasons for objection	Officer comments	Decision
1	1	Not given	Parking for people working in Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled
2	2	Resident	Please ensure Westville Avenue is included within the residents only zone	Westville Avenue is included within the residents only zone	Noted
3	3	Business	Parking for staff working for the business	See Comment A	Objection overruled
4	4	Not given	There is no allowance for commuter parking, this should be sorted before any parking plans are implemented	See Comment C	Objection overruled
5			The extent of the paid areas and residents parking areas are excessive	See Comment D	Objection overruled
6			People will be less inclined to visit shops and businesses, which will close	See Comment E	Objection overruled
7			Commuters will park in Ben Rhydding causing problems there	See Comment F	Objection overruled
8			The need was simply to put limited waiting in residential streets	See Comment G	Objection overruled
9			This will not solve Ilkley's perceived parking problem, it will just ruin the town. Commuters are the only problem and this could be solved with a commuter car park in Ben Rhydding.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
10	5	Works in Ilkley	Wouldn't be able to afford to pay for parking	See Comment A	Objection overruled

11	6	Business	The business holds functions which last for over three hours, the three hour parking limit on Stockeld Road could have a detrimental effect on the viability of the business.	The parking on Stockeld Road allows for a maximum stay of up to 3 hours, restrictions are in place up to 6.00pm. Ilkley Moor Vault has a reasonably large private car park. Any adverse effect would be limited to large functions commencing before 3.00pm and lasting for more than 3 hours. Relaxation of restrictions would make this area more attractive to other users so would not benefit customers. It may also be possible to discuss options for Ilkley Moor Vaults patrons to use the church car park close by when their car park is full.	Objection overruled
12	7	Works in Ilkley	Works for Royal Mail starting before public transport starts running. Where can workers park?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
13	8	Resident	It would be inequitable if residences with off street parking were given permits for all cars on street, if residents have drives, they should only be given visitor permits	See Comment J	Objection overruled

14	9	Works in Ilkley	parks on Stockeld Road, the objector enjoys walking from Stockeld Road to her work, the 3 hour max stay. Also parks there at weekends.	See Comment K	Objection overruled
15			Objects to changing free parking on Wells Road, Wells Promenade and Wells Walk. Which isn't directly outside anybody's property. These spaces are convenient for people who work in Ilkley.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
16	10	Works in Ilkley	Where are the workers in Ilkley going to park? Is it just a money making exercise, how many spaces are allocated to parking over 4 hours that workers can use?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
17	11	Commuter	The objector is a shift worker who struggles to trust the regularity of the bus service. Ilkley is in real danger of becoming a ghost town.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
18	12	Resident	1. Wheatley Road, Clifton Road, Springs Road and Ben Rhydding Road are already overparked, often quite dangerously close to junctions. They will become the preferred locations for parking for many people	See Comment B	Objection overruled
19			2. Although the objector already pays rates and presents, they are being faced with parking bills that will have to be met through wage	See Comment L	Objection overruled

20	13	Resident	Opposed to additional charges, car park charges will affect trade and the right of local people to enjoy local amenities.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
21			The restrictions increase carbon footprint as they will simply drive further distances	See Comment M	Objection overruled
22			It is a stealth tax and no confirmation monies raised will support the local Ilkley community	See Comment L	Objection overruled
23	14	Works in Ilkley	Objects unless free permits are issued to people who work in Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled
24	15	Not given	Please make sure cash is accepted at the meters.	Cash will be accepted at all pay and display machines	Noted
25	16	Works in Ilkley	Staff at Ilkley Grammer School rely on parking on Cowpasture Road, would it be possible for staff to apply for a parking permit or have a reduction in parking fees?	Some free unrestricted parking will remain close to Ilkley Grammer School which does provide off street parking for staff. Ilkley Grammar School to be contacted to discuss staff parking requirements. No parking will however be provided for pupils.	Objection overruled

26	17	Resident	The relative ease of parking in Ilkley encourages the use of public transport, the restrictions will make it difficult for commuters to use trains to Bradford and Leeds. How will this impact on main routes at peak times?	See Comment C	Objection overruled
27			Ilkley is popular with visitors, loss of free on street parking is likely to discourage visitors.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
28			The objector lives on Queens Road, he has never seen any evidence of serious congestion or safety issues	See Comment D	Objection overruled
29			It is proposed Back Albany Walk be made residents only, as the objector owns the freehold, he strongly objects to the Council imposing parking restrictions on his property.	See Comment N, Back Albany Walk has been confirmed as unadopted public highway.	Objection overruled
30			The Council takes no responsibility for maintenance of Back Albany Walk but refuse vehicles are the largest and heaviest vehicles to use the road and are no doubt the principal contributors to the ongoing wear and tear.	See Comment N, Back Albany Walk has been confirmed as unadopted public highway.	Objection overruled
31	18	Resident and business manager	The proposals will not address the lack of available parking in Ilkley	See Comment P	Objection overruled
32			The charges will kill off trade	See Comment H	Objection overruled
33			Workers will find other locations to work	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

34	19	Landlord of residential property	Plans show proposed double yellow lines not covering the entrance to the property on Wilmot Road, existing restrictions cover the entrance.	The extents of the existing double yellow lines outside property on Wilmot Road will not be changed.	Objection upheld
35	20	Resident	The objector is concerned the proposed double yellow lines protecting the approach to the Craiglands Hotel will move the problems into Craiglands Park itself. There are potential safety implications to both the guests and staff of the Craiglands hotel and the residents of Craiglands Park.	The location will be monitored and if displaced vehicles cause problems, proposals to manage parking at this location will be considered and progressed.	Objection overruled
36	21	Business	There are no clear details regarding the business permits (how many per business, will there be a cost, what times will they be valid from/till)	See Comment A	Objection overruled
37			There is no pay and display around Leeds Road, where will customers park?The car park on the end of Wharfe View Road is full most of the time	Lishmans have a small car park for customers. Pay and display parking with a free 30 minute period will be available on Wharfe View Road, Weston Road and Castle Road, as well as paid for parking in the car park at the end of Wharfe View Road. Parking turnover should be increased through the introduction of charges. The parking can be monitored following implementation.	Objection overruled

38		Non customers may start using Lishmans private car park, which is only big enough for 8 customers.	The Council cannot introduce restrictions or enforce on a car park where they have no formal interest. Lishmans can however explore opportunities to manage parking in their car park with various approved private operators.	Objection overruled
39		The business struggles to employ local staff, staff from further afield would not be able to afford to pay for parking and it will become difficult to recruit.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
40	22	The proposed changes are in contravention with existing policies of both Bradford MDC and WCYA	See Comment R	Objection overruled
41		The proposals are in clear contradiction to the democratic wishes of stakeholders with only 14% of survey respondents in favour of charging for parking.	See Comment S	Objection overruled
42		The proposals will reduce access to the train station to those who are able to walk there, or use the inadequate current transport provision	See Comment C	Objection overruled
43		They will limit access to public amenities, particularly Riverside Gardens, to those unable to park in a pay and display car park	Free limited parking up to three hours will be available on Stockeld Road and parts of Bridge Lane	Objection overruled
44		The proposals will move the problems to Ben Rhydding	See Comment F	Objection overruled

45			The proposals do not address any of the recommendations in the report, other than to introduce restrictions and charges	See Comment T	Objection overruled
46			The proposals are in contravention to LTP3, Bradford Local Implementation Plan and WYCA Transport Strategy	See Comment R	Objection overruled
47			The proposals provide financial benefit to those affluent residents of Ilkley (by way of significantly increasing the values of their houses) whilst penalising those less affluent residents in the area who cannot afford to live in Ilkley	See Comment X	Objection overruled
48			The proposals reduce the available workforce for businesses in Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled
49	23	Not given	The expensive residents parking and on street pay and display parking proposals do not address the lack of parking spaces in the town. The monies will be wasted	See Comment P	Objection overruled
50			In the absence of additional parking spaces, the problems would just be transferred to outlying areas	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
51			There is no long term strategy or joined up thinking, finding more spaces, balancing needs, inconsiderate and dangerous pavement parking, electric charging spaces	See Comment V	Objection overruled
52	24	business	proposals will affect staff	See Comment A	Objection overruled



53	24	Business	Proposals will reduce footfall, customers will stay away	See Comment E	Objection overruled
54	25	Business	Operates Tuesdays and Wednesdays only, can the objector apply for a virtual special permit so that he/she can continue to operate?	Yes, permits will be available	Noted
55	26	Resident	it will be impossible for visitors and local folk to enjoy the amenities of the town leading to drop in footfall and shop closures	See Comment E	Objection overruled
56	27	Resident	Residents parking should be in the evenings, so business users can park during the day.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
57	28	Business	the plans show residents parking in parking spaces leased to the trust, please amend the plans	Agreed, the plans will be amended accordingly	Objection upheld
58	29	Resident	The disable bay proposed for Kings Road will be in a dangerous location and should be the town end of Kings Road	The disabled bay is located at this end of Kings Road for use by blue badge holders visiting the church. The sight lines are reasonable and road wide at this point. Officers do not consider the location as proposed is dangerous.	Objection overruled
59			There is a gap in the parking for the drive of one property but not for another.	The drive of the property will be protected by a Keep clear bar marking.	Objection part upheld

60			the objector would like better sight lines due to the speed of vehicles down Kings Road.	The keep clear bar marking will allow some protection of sight lines. Officers are not aware of speeding issues on Kings Road but will monitor	Objection overruled
61	30	Business	The business needs vehicles available to investigate incidents, fires, explosions etc. often on short notice. Staff need parking on adjacent streets. The business will need business permits for adjoining streets in order to continue operating.	While it will not be possible to allocate permits for all staff adjacent to the business, some permits will be allocated to bays on Chantry Drive, Chantry Close and Whitton Croft Road. The business will be contacted to discuss.	Objection part upheld
62	31	Not given	Castle Road east is a single track road designated for permit holders only. People using the adjacent allotments need to be able to park to bring heavy materials. Will they get parking permits?	See Comments N, Special permits can be considered at the absolute discretion of the Council. Loading and unloading is allowed within permit parking areas.	Objection part upheld
63	32	Resident	The objector supports removing taxis from Railway Road, they reverse and turn in the road causing road safety concerns. Also late night anti social activity.	The taxi rank is not being removed so this has been logged as an objection. An additional rank is proposed to discourage over-ranking. Appropriate Licensing enforcement is recommended once the additional rank is introduced.	Objection overruled

64	33	Not given	Weston Road should be residents only with no business permits allowed.	Daytime occupancy on Weston Road will be monitored to ensure that any business permits issued do not regularly adversely affect residents parking	Objection overruled
65	34	Works in Ilkley	There is no parking issue on Albany Walk, where the objector normally parks	Albany Walk is already attractive to commuters, if it is not included within the scheme, it will become attractive to displaced vehicles.	Objection overruled
66			The objector would not be able to afford to pay for parking every day	See Comment A	Objection overruled
67			The plans will only drive shoppers and workers away from Ilkley. It is just a money making exercise.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
68			Method of consultation - Until the objector read about the proposals in the Ilkley Gazette, not himself, friends, family or acquaintances new about the proposals. Consultation should be wider and broader.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
69			Parking on Station Road should not be lost for a taxi rank	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
70			There is no benefit for residents in pay and display, the only benefit is as a source of revenue	See Comment L	Objection overruled

71			The objector does not want residents only parking, such areas should be localised	See Comment D	Objection overruled
72	35	Resident/commuter	The objector does not want shared parking, it will make the objectors life more difficult	Shared parking is located in areas where it is likely there will be little daytime demand for parking by residents. It allows the opportunity for commuters to park all day while still discouraging all day parking by tariff.	Objection overruled
73			The proposals will favour more elderly residents in Ilkley who do not contribute to the economy	There is no evidence to support this objection.	Objection overruled
74			The plans will destroy people's use of Ilkley if they do not live within walking distance	See Comment H	Objection overruled
75			The objector and family work in Bradford generating hundreds of thousands of taxable income, employment and business rates. The proposals will make travel by train more difficult. Cycling is impractical, there are no cycle lanes in Ilkley putting cyclist at risk.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
76			Not being able to park outside her business would have significant economic impact on the business and would cause inconvenience on a daily basis	See Comment A	Objection overruled

77	36	Business	There is not sufficient space for deliveries between 10.00 and 15.00	No waiting allowed at any time restrictions are proposed for Wells Road which will allow loading and unloading for up to 30 minutes.	Objection overruled
78			There is insufficient station parking	See Comment P	Objection overruled
79			Parking permits for business owners and staff should be a priority	See Comment A	Objection overruled
80	37	Business	No mention of parking for businesses or staff in residential areas Whilst generally agreeing with residents parking, how are people going to work in Ilkley successfully?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
81	38	Resident	Please stop the double yellow lines before the raised pavement outside a specific property.	Agreed	Objection upheld
82			The restrictions should be 8.00am to 8.00pm, many commuters are still parked after 6.00pm	See Comment W	Objection overruled
83	39	Works in Ilkley	Works in Ilkley 3 days a week on minimum wage, would not be able to afford any extra charges. Public transport not feasible from where the objector lives.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

84	40	Not given	Cllr Ross Shaw asserted on 11 October 2018 that the recommendations would be implemented in full. This is a formal request for a definitive ruling on the legitimacy of a consultation exercise.	Proposals at the time of the e-mail were draft and comments appear to relate directly to the 7 recommendations made in the external consultants report. Legal Services have been consulted and have advised Cllr Ross-Shaw accordingly.	Cllr Ross-Shaw confirms he is aware of his comment in previous correspondence as to the recommendations in the Consultants review, however in considering the proposed Order and the objections thereto, he confirms he has approached them fairly and on their merits with an open mind before making his determination. Objection overruled
85	41	Resident	The objector states Westville Close is a private drive and should not therefore be included within the scheme.	The status of Westville Close will be changed to private road, Westville Close will be removed from the scheme.	Objection upheld
86	42	Not given	Please clarify will properties that have converted their front gardens into hardstanding be required to apply for residents only parking?	See Comment J	Objection overruled
87	43	Resident	There has been no information given regarding the cost or inconvenience of a permit	All permits will initially be free	Comments noted
88			The objector sees no benefit, the proposals will only shift parking problems to outlying streets	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
89	44	Business	Concerned where staff are going to park and how much it will cost them	See Comment A	Objection overruled

90	45		There is no recognition that workers and shoppers will just park further out of town. Stating people should walk or catch public transport is a cop out	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
91			If parking is limited to 2 hours, people will either not come in or seek parking on roads not covered	See Comments E and Q	Objection overruled
92			The proposals will just move the problems around, they do not address the full picture	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
93	46	Business	Proposals change the markings outside the entrance to the business on Regent Road from single white line to no waiting yellow line. This will have a negative effect on ability to carry out day to day business	The proposals to introduce double yellow lines across the access to the premises will be removed, the keep clear marking will be refreshed.	Objection upheld
94	47	Resident	Hospital Walk is a private road and should be removed from the scheme	See Comment N, Hospital Walk has been confirmed as adopted highway	Objection overruled
95			The proposed changes will have a negative affect on high street trade, the hassle/complexity of having to pay will encourage more people to use out of town larger stores.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
96			The streets surrounding the zones will become saturated while those within the zones will be relatively deserted,	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

97	48	Resident	The objectors property on Kings Road does not appear to be mentioned in the roads for charging/permits. At present the objector parks outside their property on Kings Road but this will become prime parking space so will be significantly worse off.	See Comments B and Q	Objection overruled
98			Parking restrictions should happen on south side of Kings Road as it runs west from its junction with Westville to stop parked vehicles restricting buses.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
99	49	Resident	Double yellow lines are to be introduced on Little Lane outside some properties but they are not included for consideration of residents permits. Residents do not object to waiting restriction but would like to be eligible for permits	Agreed.	Objection upheld
100			While parking on Nile Road can be slightly difficult at times, the objector does not believe the restrictions are the right option	See Comment AA	Objection overruled
101	50	Resident	Fewer people will visit Ilkley causing independent businesses to close.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
102			Commuters who get the train from Ilkley will drive into Leeds or Bradford causing increased congestion.	See Comment M	Objection overruled



103	51		By not creating additional parking, the proposals are going to cause chaos in streets around Ben Rhydding station and stations further towards Leeds and Bradford.	See Comments P and F	Objection overruled
104	52	Resident	Include yellow lines at the bottom of Victoria Avenue on both sides for 50 yards from the lights	This junction will be monitored.	Objection overruled
105			Issue parking fines to inconsiderate drivers who park irresponsibly, particularly with large, wide cars and vans on The Grove	Irresponsible parking obstructing any highway can be enforced by West Yorkshire Police. If a vehicle is parked more than 50cm from the kerb, Council Enforcement Officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice.	Noted
106			Town centre should be 20pmh	Not within the scope of this review	Objection overruled
107			The restrictions will push visitor and commuter parking further out of the town centre. Can a car park be created in Ben Rhydding for commuters.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
108			According to Steer Davies Gleave, parking demand at peak times, 72% of car spaces taken. The proposals are excessive, would it not be more sensible to introduce a phased change where the situation can be monitored.	See Comment D	Objection overruled

109		The report identifies there are parking concerns at all times including after 18.30. The majority of residents bought properties knowing the problems of parking, their inconvenience is now impacting the rest of the town and beyond (Ben Rhydding)	See Comment W	Objection overruled	
110		Given the topography, hills and age of many of the residents, walking may not be possible and blue badges not available. The proposed parking charges may be an extra burden.	See Comment L	Objection overruled	
111		How will health/care workers, delivery drivers be affected?	Health/care workers can apply for a permit to allow limited stays in residents parking zones. Loading/unloading will be allowed within the residents parking bays for up to 30 minutes.	Noted / Overruled	
112	53	Not given	Please confirm the proposals on Stockeld Road have been removed.	Proposals to introduce waiting restrictions on Stockeld Road were amended prior to advertising to introduce lengths of 3 hour free limited waiting.	Noted
113		People come into town to engage in wholesome activities such as walking, why should they be penalised with parking charges in areas around the park?	See Comment K	Objection overruled	

114	The proposals at the swimming pool are preposterous.	See Comment AB	Objection overruled
115	Why have double yellows on the south side of The Grove? In 30 years nobody has ever parked there	While nobody has ever parked on the south side of the Grove, it does remain unrestricted. Officers would propose to seal the Traffic Regulation Order as is but not introduce the waiting restrictions unless vehicles do start parking on either the south side of the carriageway, or the footpath to the south side.	Objection part upheld
116	£46,500 for lines and signs, £10,000 for legal order and £154,570 for machines could be well used by other needy worthwhile services.	See Comment AC	Objection overruled
117	Leeds have scrapped charges at the Netherfield site. Bury St Edmunds, a similar town, charges £2.30 per day, not £5.00	See Comment L	Objection overruled

118	54	Not given	<p>In order to progress these changes you will need:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirmation to the community that their views have not been ignored and that a significant majority want the proposed changes.</li> <li>2. A clear plan for additional parking spaces for commuters who wish to commute from Ilkley train station.</li> <li>3. A clear plan for Improved local transport services in and out of Ilkley (more frequent and cheaper bus services from Addingham etc.)</li> <li>4. A review to understand the actual impact to the economy, and a mechanism to back out the changes if the economy has suffered.</li> </ol>	See Comments Z, V and P	Objection overruled
119			A vocal minority have complained, people can normally find spaces to park except in Wells Road and Mornington Road, provide residents only parking in these two roads and then review	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
120			You cannot get more people to to walk, cycle or use public transport without investment. The money would have been better invested in cycle routes, secure bike storage and improved bus services	Proposals to improve cycle infrastructure in Ilkley are being discussed and could be funded from surplus income generated through pay and display activity.	Objection overruled

121			The proposed solutions include parking problems where there are no parking issues, why?	See Comment D	Objection overruled
122			The objector won't be going into Ilkley town centre any more, it will be easier and cheaper to go elsewhere. The town centre will suffer economically	See Comment E	Objection overruled
123			This will lead to parking issues in Ben Rhydding and Burley in Wharfedale, it may even increase traffic accidents.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
124			There are no alternative parking arrangements for commuters	See Comment C	Objection overruled
125			Most people are against the proposals, everybody who is affected should vote	See Comment S	Objection overruled
126	55	Not given	Will parking be found for those who currently park to use trains? If not, the problems will only pass along the valley to ben Rhydding and Burley in Eharfedale.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
127			People park on Bridge Lane to enjoy Riverside Gardens or walk along part of the Dalesway, or visit the garden centre	See Comment K	Objection overruled
128	56	Resident	Metered parking at the bottom of Kings Road and Grove Road will have a knock on affect as vehicles will park further up both roads including outside objectors property.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

129	57	Resident	The restriction in time to 2 hours in the central car park will have an adverse affect on the Clark Foley centre, lunchtime restaurant trade and out of time day visitors who may not stay longer and spend money in the local shops	See Comment AD	Objection overruled
130	58	Clark Foley visitor	The objector objects to proposals to restrict the length of stay in the main car park to 2 hours, which is too short for visitors to Clark Foley and for lunch.	See Comment AD	Objection overruled
131	59	Clark Foley visitor	Objects to proposals to restrict parking in the car park to 2 hours	See Comment AD	Objection overruled
132	60	Resident	Parked vehicles will move up the road starting outside the objectors house. The double yellow lines need to be extended by at least 20 meters but more sensibly 80 meters.	While the restrictions cannot be extended as part of this Order, the site will be monitored post implementation	Objection overruled
133	61	Not given	Older people visiting the Clark Foley should be able to park for at least 4 hours in the central car park	See Comment AD	Objection overruled
134	62	Resident	Objects to a maximum stay of 2hours in the central car park.	See Comment AD.	Objection overruled
135	63	Not given	Objects to a maximum stay of 2hours in the central car park.	See Comment AD	Objection overruled
136	64	Not given	Objects to a maximum stay of 2hours in the central car park.	See Comment AD	Objection overruled
137			The only winners are residents living in the centre of the town, who don't actually need a car to access the town	See Comment X	Objection overruled

138	65	Resident	Shop workers living outside Ilkley would find it difficult to find somewhere to park and would have to pay	See Comment A	Objection overruled
139			Residents living outside the town would be disadvantaged as for most there is no appropriate bus service, cycling and walking are not always possible	See Comment AE	Objection overruled
140			People who use the train would have to find somewhere to park or stop using the train.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
141			Roadside parking spaces would be left empty during the day	See Comment AF	Objection overruled
142			The few spaces remaining would fill up rapidly, visitors may go elsewhere. Even Ilkley residents may go elsewhere or shop online.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
143			More sensible option would be to provide more parking, including adequate parking for rail users and workers.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
144			66	Resident	Parking would be more difficult except for residents living in the centre of the town.
145	Shop workers living outside Ilkley would find it difficult to find somewhere to park and would have to pay	See Comment A			Objection overruled

146			Residents living outside the town would be disadvantaged as for most there is no appropriate bus service, cycling and walking are not always possible	See Comment AE	Objection overruled
147	67	Resident	The property has 7 flats/14 residents. There are 2 off street parking spaces, the garages are too small. The objection is against putting double yellows outside the property on Parish Ghyll Drive. Parking there doesn't cause anybody problems.	Some on street parking can be relaxed directly outside the property	Objection part upheld
148			Opposed to increase in parking charges or charges for residents parking permits.	See Comments L and AG	Objection overruled
149	68	Taxi driver	Wants more details regarding plans for taxi ranks	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
150	69	Resident	The objector has to park in areas around Bolton Bridge Road when he can't park outside his own home.	Residents permits will be issued on a zonal basis, which will include roads around Bolton Bridge Road	Objection overruled
151			Shared parking outside his home will not ease problems, make this area of Bolton Bridge Road a residents only zone.	This area is presently unrestricted, shared parking will discourage use by commuters.	Objection overruled
152			The proposals don't offer any solution to the actual problem, which is lack of parking spaces.	See Comment P	Objection overruled



153	70	Resident and business owner	The hugely extended central area will not only impact the people who live in the town, but also those in surrounding villages of Addingham, Ben Rhydding and Burley in Wharfedale.	See Comments D, F and Q	Objection overruled
154			Offer 1 hour free parking per day for residents of Ilkley	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
155			Roads that don't have residents such as Stockeld Road and Bridge Lane have residents parking schemes and pay and display on them.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
156			Residents will still not be guaranteed a space outside their home because it will be a free for all for residents with multiple vehicles.	See Comment J	Objection overruled
157			The impact on Tesco, Marks and Spencer and Booths car parks will be noticeable with people more likely to use them	Private car park operators may wish to introduce whatever parking controls they deem necessary to ensure parking amenity is maintained for their customers.	Objection overruled
158	71		Welcomes residents parking but is disappointed some properties on Kings Road are not included in the scheme	See Comments B, E and Q	Support noted, objection overruled

159	72	Works in Ilkley	Objector works on Skipton Road and would find it difficult to travel to work on public transport. The proposals make no allowance for office/shop workers. What provisions are being made for office/shop workers?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
160			The proposals will hasten the demise of Ilkley as a town to visit.	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled
161			The proposed residents parking is too far reaching and hasn't been sufficiently researched.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
162			The parking proposals will put a stranglehold on commerce in the town whilst trying to pacify residents who might end up with no place for friends or family to park.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
163	73	Business in Ilkley	Where is research on shoppers and business owners parking habits?	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
164			Objector employs 3 staff, where are they going to park?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
165			The scheme goes too far, the scheme should be more limited	See Comment D	Objection overruled
166			The proposals will put a stranglehold on commerce in the town whilst trying to pacify residents.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
167			There is no evidence of provision for parking for staff who work in businesses in Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled

168	74	Resident	By introducing the proposals customers won't return	See Comment E	Objection overruled
169			Additional parking needs to be introduced to assist bottle neck streets.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
170	76	Resident	The proposals don't take adequate account of the impact on existing businesses which require proximate parking.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
171			How can self employed people working as cleaners, gardeners, handymen, plumbers etc carry out their work?	Self employed people working in residences within the permit parking zones will be required to utilise the visitor permits available. If people are required to attend vacant properties on a regular basis, they may apply for a special permit. Contractor waivers can also be purchased, paid for on a daily basis	Objection overruled
172			Too much emphasis put on residents who have complained and not logic, particularly around the Riverside, Denton Road , the rugby and cricket clubs. These areas have a long history of activities requiring public access.	See Comment X	Objection overruled
173			The proposals fail to take into account knock on effects in Ben Rhydding and will increase traffic on Springs Lane and Bolling Road.	See Comments F and Q	Objection overruled

174			How can employees on low wages afford to pay for their parking when they commute to work in the town?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
175	77	Resident	Supports scheme and urges the Council to stay resolute	Supports proposals	Noted
176	78	Resident	Hospital Walk is a private road and should be removed from the scheme, the Council have no power to apply any parking regulation on it.	See Comment N, Hospital Walk has been confirmed as adopted highway.	Objection overruled
177	79	Resident	Enjoys 2/5 hours free parking to enable objector to shop, volunteer and socialise. 30 minute free parking is not long enough so will be restricted by cost in the use of the town.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
178			A disc parking scheme would be more appropriate in affected streets and would be better for businesses, residents and visitors	See Comment G	Objection overruled
179	80	Resident	Enjoys 2/5 hours free parking to enable objector to shop, volunteer and socialise. 30 minute free parking is not long enough so will be restricted by cost in the use of the town.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
180			A disc parking scheme would be more appropriate in affected streets and would be better for businesses, residents and visitors	See Comment G	Objection overruled

181	81	Works in Ilkley	Works in Ilkley, is aware of proposals to accommodate workers but there are no details, costs etc. Will not be able to afford to pay for parking and free parking would take too long to walk from.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
182	82	Works in Ilkley	Paying for parking would be prohibitive for many people who work in Ilkley.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
183	83	Works in Ilkley	The parking charges will be a financial disincentive to continue to work in Ilkley. The objector might as well commute to Leeds or Manchester. This will affect employees throughout the town.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
184			The shops, café's and bar's will see a shortfall in footfall through their doors.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
185			There could be 100s more free parking spaces created if bays were marked out.	The recommended width of individual bays is 6m. Often when left to their own devices, drivers park closer than this. Officers do not consider marking individual bays will increase capacity.	Objection overruled
186	84	Resident	The objector lives just outside the boundary of the scheme and does not have adequate off street parking. The scheme will greatly exacerbate parking problems and have an impact on road safety.	See Comments Q and B	Objection overruled

187			The proposals will just shift the problems to other areas.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
188	85	Resident	The objector lives just outside the boundary of the scheme and does not have adequate off street parking. The scheme will greatly exacerbate parking problems and have an impact on road safety.	See Comments Q and B	Objection overruled
189			Properties on Kings Road should either be included in the scheme, or proposals scrapped.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
190	86	Resident	The objector lives just outside the boundary of the scheme and does not have adequate off street parking. The scheme will greatly exacerbate parking problems and have an impact on road safety. Some properties on Kings Road should be included in the scheme.	See Comments Q and B	Objection overruled
191	87	Works in Ilkley	The scheme reduces the amount of parking for workers and visitors will not increase.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
192			Objector feels unsafe walking long distance to work in the dark due to poor street lighting	See Comment A	Objection overruled
193			The cost of parking for work would be too much	See Comment A	Objection overruled
194			The proposed restrictions may deter visitors to Ilkley adversely affecting businesses	See Comment E	Objection overruled
195			Areas of congestion will be displaced	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

196	88	Amenity and Worship in Ilkley	The restrictions will apply on Sundays even though commuting is much reduced meaning people coming to church would incur a parking charge	Officers to consult with the church to discuss possible issue of special permits for Sundays	Objection part upheld
197			Many volunteers would be penalised and therefore discouraged when the voluntary sector is picking up more demand due to council cuts.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
198	89	Works in Ilkley	The scheme reduces the amount of parking for workers and visitors will not increase.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
199			Objector feels unsafe walking long distance to work in the dark due to poor street lighting	See Comment A	Objection overruled
200			The cost of parking for work would be too much	See Comment A	Objection overruled
201	90	Amenity in Ilkley	The U3A has 1,700 members, half of which are over 75 and have mobility problems. They rely in their cars. The proposed new charges and restrictions will be punitive for those members who have pensions or restricted income.	Free parking for more than 1 hour is already limited and in high demand around the Clarke Foley Centre. Members will still be able to pay to park for more than 2 hours in South Hawksworth Street car park. Any members with mobility problems sufficient to qualify for a blue badge may park for free on	Objection overruled

202			This will impact on the viability of community facilities such as the Clarke Foley Centre.	street or in the car park.	Objection overruled
203	91	Resident	Yewbank Terrace is a private road owned by the householders. Does this affect proposals?	See Comment N, Yewbank Terrace has been confirmed as unadopted public highway	Objection overruled
204			Some properties are converted to flats with not enough frontage to create 2 or more parking bays.	Residents permits will be zonal, they will not restrict parking to individual frontages. Each zone should provide enough parking for all residents and visitors, be it, not directly outside individual residences.	Objection overruled
205	92	Resident	Commuters who currently park near the centre of Ilkley will simply start to park in Ben Rhydding. If a car park is built in 2021, the review shouldn't be introduced until then.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
206			The changes will result in a loss of business and a loss of staff for local independent businesses. Public transport does not always fit. There are a large number of charity shops manned by elderly volunteers , why should they pay when they work for nothing?	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled



207			No waiting on Curly Hill and Denton Road doesn't make sense, these areas are not subject to large amounts of cars parking	See Comments D and U	Objection overruled
208	93	Resident	Hospital Walk/Riddings Road is private and therefore the Council has no power to impose restrictions.	See Comment N, Hospital Walk has been confirmed as adopted highway.	Objection overruled
209			The two main problems not addressed are parking for commuters and parking for employees of businesses.	See Comments A and P	Objection overruled

210	<p>It is recognised that the BMDC does not appreciate the commercial world that some of us exist in but it should be remembered that commuters tend to be higher salary employees and corresponding pay above average tax to fund public services. On the other hand employees working in shops, cafes and certain offices face the reverse situation and should be protected. The complaints I have had from town businesses concern the bureaucracy of the system, the ability to track details of car ownership, changes of personal circumstances .....all costing part of an advised £260,000 to install and £30,000 per annum to organise.....will see more wasted money. To cap it all Bradford officials could not give a revenue return or payback period .....a complete lack of business management investment understanding. Several business will be under threat with a Parking system that does not allow for flexibility and cost effectiveness</p>	<p>See Comment AC and additionally costs of introducing and managing the permit system will be met through income generated by Ilkley parking activity.</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>
211	<p>Proposals do not address the principal issue which is lack of town centre parking capacity</p>	<p>See Comment P</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>

212

<p>It is acknowledged that residents in close proximity to the railway situation suffer from commuter parking and with adequate car parking capacity close by the station all day restrictions would relieve the problem. Other residents options could also be considered but not a blanket spread of restrictions which would be counter productive.</p>	<p>See Comments D and W</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>
--	-----------------------------	----------------------------

213	94 Town councillor	<p>Representative on then what was the Parish Council and during that time we had visits from the Secretary of State for transport and also junior ministers....all agreed their was a problem but nothing was done. The response at the time from the BMDC was ...' we cannot build a car park ...because people will use it '....is that what car parks are for....is it not? On a similar comparative basis it has not stopped hundreds of new parking places being constructed at Kirkstall Forge by a far more enlightened Leeds City Council who supports business. So what do we do....drive there to park?</p> <p>When in Ilkley we have more car parking places at the Doctors Surgery than the railhead ...the problem is obvious and incidentally where will the cars go when the proposed new 800 homes are built in the valley ?If only the BMDC would follow the examples of similar situations as are found in Germany , France , the Netherlands and Switzerland where I have had considerable business experience and where commuters are encouraged by the building of mini type car parks at stations on commuter railway lines.</p>	See Comments P and U	Objection overruled
-----	--------------------	---	----------------------	---------------------

214			<p>Finally my proposal is that which supports a comment recorded in the Ilkley Gazette of 14 th February which indicated one option was to ..' abandon the proposals ' and this is what I suggest the BMDC undertake before more good money is wasted, emotion and upset is caused to the Town and its residents.I am also recommending to the Town Council that a Judicial Review takes place on the basis of the flawed nature and poor coverage of major aspects of what the BMDC has come up and that they should properly examine options of what will solve the problem as a long term solution to parking in the Town.</p>		Objection overruled
215			Visitors will shop elsewhere	See Comment E	Objection overruled
216	95	Works in Ilkley	There will be nowhere for for workers to park for free, rail or bus is not an option.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

217	96	Resident	Town businesses, commuters and potentially train services will be severely impacted. Very simply, the changes reduce the capacity for parking for people to come into Ilkley from out of town to work and shop at Ilkley businesses. Businesses will close and people will lose their jobs as a result of this change. Skipton and other towns might benefit.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
218			Commuters will struggle to park and use the train services from Ilkley. This may impact demand for the train and potentially reduce the services on a line that was hard fought for. In addition, commuters will not be spending money in town before or after their commute.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
219			There must be viable alternatives such as providing more parking in or close to town and potentially providing a regular park and ride service from a larger car park close by. I think some of the last decisions to allow building on the site on Railway Rd instead of providing parking has been very near sighted.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
220	97	Not given	Plans will not alleviate parking problems but will create utter chaos pushing traffic further out of town blocking more residential areas	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

221			Plans are just a money making exercise	See Comment L	Objection overruled
222			McCarthy and Stone land should have been made into a car park.	No Officer comments	Noted
223	98	Resident	Supports the proposals	Supports proposals	Noted
224	99	Works in Ilkley	The objector objects to having to start paying for parking, the proposals offer no other choices.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
225	100	Resident	Due to limited visibility and the road not being wide enough, the objector requests double yellow lines be extended to the northern boundary of his property.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
226			there will be less free.reasonably priced parking spaces for visitors to the town	See Comment E	Objection overruled
227	101	Business owner	lower paid workers in ilkley will not be able to afford £5.00 per day to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
228			There seems to be less provision for taxis who already overrank	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
229	102	Not given	Many residents from Addingham and Ilkley commute via rail to Leeds and Bradford and require parking in the town. The consequences of this are more cars driving to Leeds and Bradford or/and more cars parking in residential streets around Ben Rhydding	See Comments C, F and M	Objection overruled

230	103	Resident	There should be no bays opposite entrances to properties on Westville Road and to the garages of Kings Court because the highway is narrow, there is no footpath, visibility splays are limited and parked cars will increase the risk of collision	The parking opposite the entrances to properties on Westville Road will be monitored.	Objection overruled
231	104	Business owner	If the whole of the area is to be residents only, where will the business park and how will it take deliveries?	See comment A, additionally loading and unloading will be allowed for up to 30 minutes in residents parking zones and on other waiting restrictions.	Objection overruled
232	105	Works in Ilkley	Clients can be elderly with limited mobility or can stay after appointments to shop in Ilkley, where will they park?	Officers to discuss parking needs for customers with the Objector on her return from holiday, and if possible accommodate needs through permit issue.	Objections part upheld
233			Where will staff park, they can't always commute by train.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
234			Residents parking is too restrictive and not flexible for businesses. 2 or 3 hours parking should be allowed. If its just commuters causing problems introduce a 4 hour max stay	See Comment W	Objection overruled
235			Please confirm what provisions are being made for office/shop workers as well as for parents dropping children off at All Saints School	See Comment A	Objection overruled



236	106	Works in Ilkley	The proposals will hasten the demise of Ilkley town centre. The percentage of charity shops and empty units is noticeable	See Comment H	Objection overruled
237			The proposals haven't been properly researched to understand the future impact on commerce and employment in the town	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
238			The plans go too far, there is a parking problem but driving cars away from the town isn't the answer. People are already saying they will choose different destinations for weekend or holiday visits.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
239	107	Resident	The proposals are not solutions to the problems, where are hundreds of commuters going to park if no alternative is provided?	See Comment C	Objection overruled
240			People will be put off coming to shop in Ilkley, shops will lose trade and close down.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
241	108	Business owner/Landlord	Can parking permits be allocated to workers using the building numbering 12 approx over 5 businesses?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
242	109	Resident	Westville Avenue is a cul desac and does not in any way suffer any parking issues created by non residents	See Comment D	Objection overruled

243	110	Resident	Back of property (Back Albany Walk) is earmarked for residents only, it's a narrow cobbled road and deeds illustrate the property boundary extends to the middle of the road. The road is unadopted so the Council do not have the authority to permit parking without the consent of the owner.	Back Albany Walk is confirmed as an unadopted highway, owned and maintained by frontages, but who do not have rights to restrict or manage access over it.	Objection overruled
244	111	Business owner	The proposals allow for a maximum of 2 hours in the town centre which will turn off people coming into Ilkley. Visitors coming to Ilkley should not feel restricted to a 2 hour maximum stay.	The proposals continue to allow for parking in excess of 2 hours in the car parks and on streets further away from the town centre. The majority of maximum stay areas are not changing, with the exception of one side of Whitton Croft Road where the maximum stay is reduced from 5 hours to 2 hours, to become consistent with the maximum stay of other town centre streets.	Objection overruled
245			Business parking requirements for 2 cars Mondays to Saturdays around Wells Road, it will cost £60 per week which the business can't afford.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
246			The proposals would lead to less footfall, more pay and display parking should be considered on Whitton Croft Road, Chantry Drive and Wells Road.	See Comment E	Objection overruled

247	112	Not given	There already isn't enough parking in Ilkley, residents only parking is moronic. Not only does it cost the local residents money but it means that there will be empty streets in the day when residents are at work	See Comments P and AF	Objection overruled
248			This is essentially the end of Ilkley town centre as no one will be able to come here to shop or commute on the train, all so residents can park outside their own houses.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
249			Tariffs proposed are yet another way of killing life in the town centre.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
250	113	Business	Burgoynes are forensic scientists who have to investigate incidents, fires, explosions etc, there are 17 staff who are required to attend site immediately with tools and equipment. These staff need to secure parking on adjacent streets, namely Chantry Drive and Whitton Croft Road. If this doesn't happen, the company's position will be untenable. Business permits will be needed allowing vehicles to park on these roads.	While it will not be possible to allocate permits for all staff adjacent to Burgoyne House, some permits will be allocated to bays on Chantry Drive, Chantry Close and Whitton Croft Road. Burgoynes will be contacted to discuss.	Objection part upheld
251	114	Works in Ilkley	Lives in Steeton, public transport is not available. Proposals would mean the objector would have to pay £100 per month to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

252			Objector also sources talent to work in Ilkley, it would make it more difficult to attract quality talent into Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled
253	115	School in Ilkley	Regarding Ben Rhydding Road outside Moorfield School, double yellow lines proposed. People try to avoid traffic by using Ben Rhydding Road. Moorfield primary school and nurse has over 130 children. Cars parked act as traffic calming, there are no speed bumps, road markings or warning signs. Removal of parking will lead to an increase in speeds and object on road safety grounds.	Parking around bends on Ben Rhydding Road cause road safety concerns at school starting and closing times. The restrictions proposed protect sight lines and road width around the bends and are local to each bend allowing parking further away.	Objection overruled

254	116	Place of Worship	<p>Castle Yard - Highway records are incorrect, the church owns land abutting the highway. Also requests vehicular access is fully maintained. Requests the permits are extended to 10.00pm to meet the evening needs of the church. Requests that Castle Hill and Castle yard are removed from the proposed Order as an existing TRO is already in place. The temporary waiting restrictions on New Brook Street to be made permanent are punitive and should be removed. There should be some medium term (up to 3 hr) parking on New Brook Street and a single yellow line allowing parking outside working day peak hours. Medium term parking considered on Bridge Lane.</p>	<p>The on street parking needs of the church are not met within the present proposals, Officers can meet church representatives to discuss parking needs through re-allocation of some long stay pay and display parking to shorter stay paid for parking to allow for turnover, and possible provision of limited period permits on Bridge Lane. Some parking spaces in Castle Yard are private and incorrectly marked as part of the scheme. The plans will be revised.</p>	<p>Objection part upheld</p>
255	117	Resident	<p>Where will the cars currently parking on Wells Road and Cowpasture Road go? Why does Ilkley Hall Park have permit holders when all houses have driveways? What is going to happen on Crossbeck Road? Restrictions are needed on Crossbeck Road.</p>	<p>See Comment AJ</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>

256	118	Resident and Works in Ilkley	Where do colleagues park when they drive to work and where can the objector park if chosen to drive?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
257			Where are patients to the Orthodontic practice going to park? NHS patients will not be able to pay.	Parking will available in the close proximity, it will be paid for but the charges are not considered too high to discourage patients.	Objection overruled
258	119	Resident	The plan should be extended to include permit parking on a proportion of the left side of the road from a point on Albany Walk so to [provide them direct access to parking outside their house and to avoid them having to encroach on the parking for properties on the opposite side . The Parish Ghyll Road proposal has been amended from the initial [plan and now has permit parking on both sides and is a narrower road at 6.20m compared to Albany walk at 6.50m so there isn't a width restriction .	See Comment B	Objection overruled
259			Needs 9 permits for staff to park, many of whom have no alternative but to drive to work	See Comment A	Objection overruled
260			Why are some streets that are not residential included for residents parking, for example Kings Road?	See Comment D	Objection overruled

261	120	Business	The amount of new development and flat conversions has a huge knock on effect on parking. Parking should be provided within new developments.	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
262			More commuters will park in Ben Rhydding causing problems. A large car park on the outskirts of the town would be a solution.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
263	121	Resident	The proposals are excessive	See Comment D	Objection overruled
264			Stockeld Road is limited to 3 hours but is used for people starting on walks along the Dales Way. Other walkers stay for more than 3 hours.	See Comment K	Objection overruled
265			Cunliffe Road is regularly used by elderly people attending the Clarke Foley Centre and should have a longer time	The maximum stay on Cunliffe Road is not changing, payment will however be required for stays in excess of 30 minutes	Objection overruled
266			Ilkley Hall Park and Skelda Rise, the restrictions are unnecessary and may encourage more parking on Crossbeck Road	See Comment D	Objection overruled
267			Tariff Code 3 cannot be seen anywhere near the town centre. Paid for parking will increase pressure on roads not restricted.	Tariff code 3 does not relate to Ilkley.	Objection overruled

268	122	Resident	The existing parking controls on Whitton Croft Road work well for both residents and public. The proposals will create congestion and greater traffic activity. As long as access is protected, no special permits are required.	The proposals on Whitton Croft Road allow for parking up to 2 hours, in line with other parking areas in close proximity to the town centre. Longer stay parking will be available on streets further away.	Objection overruled
269	123	Business / Nursery	Parish Ghyll Drive outside nursery has no restriction proposed (just outside restricted area) so will be parked up with displaced vehicles. Where will parents be able to park to drop off children?	The site will be monitored following implementation.	Objections overruled
270			Where will the ten staff park? The owner has not received any information.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
271	124	Place of Worship	Other measures may be considered appropriate in the event that Queens Road was to become another long term daytime parking area.	This location will be monitored	Objection overruled
272			The parking provisions for Crossbeck Road were not included in the original proposals and the documented parking proposals provided since are incomplete with references to some proposals, permit parking, that is not illustrated on the street maps.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled



273		The parking proposals in the document, not maps, indicate parking permits on the odd side of the street only and no limitations on the even side of the street (more heavily populated). It is not clear what will happen to the even side of the street and how they will be affected.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
274		There appears to be no clear thought process in how permits for one side of the street will prevent all day parking by commuters and workers being pushed up the hill and onto the street.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
275	125 Resident	The proposal does not action the danger of parking on both sides of a road with bends which limits line of site and results regularly in cars having to mount the kerb to pass. This is a clear danger to pedestrians, particularly as this is a main thoroughfare to Ilkley Grammar School.	This location will be monitored following implementation.	Objection overruled
276		The no parking/waiting restrictions do not extend to opposite the entrance to Crossbeck Close. Cars park directly opposite the entrance making it tricky for manoeuvres in and out of Crossbeck Close.	This location will be monitored	Objection overruled

277			Events at Ilkley Grammar School, Craiglands Hotel and moorland activities, such as the run this weekend, make the road impassable due to vehicles parked all the way along on both sides of the road.	This location will be monitored	Objection overruled
278			Line of site is currently limited when pulling out at both ends of the road. It is of particular concern at the Cowpasture Road end due to the potential risk with school children crossing, no pedestrian road crossing and the limited line of site uphill due to the constant line of cars parked on school days.	Proposals include additional waiting restrictions at both ends of Crossbeck Road.	Objection overruled
279	126	Not given	The restrictions are overly complicated and do not address the real issue which is lack of parking for workers and commuters in the town.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
280			Free on street parking should not be removed until additional parking is found.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
281	127	Not given	On Crossbeck Road parking permits will only be available to odd numbers. These permits need to be available for even numbers.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
282			The large area to the top of Mount Pleasant is an area of private land belonging to the objector.	Agreed	Objection upheld

283	128	Resident	None of Mount Pleasant adjacent to the private land is suitable for parking so should be taken off the scheme.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
284	129	Not given	Proposals to introduce charges will lead to cars being displaced into residential areas.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
285			Narrow residential streets will be packed while wide streets with charges will be empty. This will lead to increased vehicle speeds and road safety concerns.	See Comment AF	Objection overruled
286			The plans will seriously reduce the number of people visiting Ilkley and make it difficult for those working there.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
287	130	Resident	The proposals will push parking further out of town into residential areas	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
288			The proposals will discourage visitors into the town	See Comment E	Objection overruled
289			People who work in Ilkley have little option for all day parking	See Comment A	Objection overruled
290			We need a park and ride for long stays	See Comment AL	Objection overruled
291	131	Resident	The proposals will be detrimental to the town centre, people will go elsewhere for free	See Comment E	Objection overruled
292			The proposals will push problems further afield, there are already parking problems in Ben Rhydding	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

293	132	Business Director	The objector employs 19 people, none of which live in Ilkley. The property is away from the immediate town centre and only has a small car park. Where are staff going to park?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
294			Customers not only need to drop off and pick up items, but they need to park for a few hours to view lots and attend the auction itself.	Consider allocating areas around this location with no residential frontages 3 hours free.	Objection part upheld
295			Without adequate parking, the business will struggle.	Consider allocating areas around this location with no residential frontages 3 hours free.	Objection part upheld
296	133	Works in Ilkley	The scheme reduces the amount of parking for workers and visitors will not increase.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
297			Objector feels unsafe walking long distance to work in the dark due to poor street lighting	See Comment A	Objection overruled
298			The cost of parking for work would be too much	See Comment A	Objection overruled
299	134	Resident	It will stop people visiting the town centre, where the objector visits once or twice a week, they would visit once or twice a month due to the cost. Locals should be able to purchase an annual pass of maybe £50.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
300			Problems will be displaced to residential areas further out.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

301			This feels like a money making exercise rather than a parking solution, why can't we create underground parking?	See Comment L	Objection overruled
302	135	Business manager, works in Ilkley	Public transport is not an option, objector is a volunteer, as are 11 staff, so don't get paid. Where will they park?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
303			The proposals will have a negative and dramatic affect for visitors and local businesses.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
304	136	Business manager, works in Ilkley	Employs 6 people, where are workers going to be able to park?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
305	137	Resident	Why should Chantry Drive be any different to Chantry Close? Should be residents/business permits only	Chantry Close is a cul de sac fronted entirely by residential properties while chantry Drive is a through route with some residential properties accessing directly from Chantry Drive. Shared parking is proposed to maximise parking.	Objection overruled
306			Chantry Drive is narrow so parking should only be allowed on one side.	While Chantry Drive is quite narrow, it is also a one way road. Parking can therefore be allowed on both sides without causing obstruction.	Objection overruled

307	138	Resident	The proposals may make it worse for residents on Trafalgar Road	The proposals will remove the potential for commuters to park on Trafalgar Road, it is unlikely to make matters worse for residents	Objection overruled
308			The Council has not stated how much permits will cost, which is not acceptable.	Permits will initially be free of charge although this may be subject to review.	Objection overruled
309			The plans are a reaction to one or two complaints and will cause more problems than they solve.	See Comment AM	Objection overruled
310	139	Resident	The council should delay any changes for at least nine months in order to provide time and opportunity to investigate providing significantly more parking for commuters and visitors.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
311			The proposals simply push commuters and tourists into other unrestricted residential areas.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
312			Paid street parking will be unsightly and people who can't park for free will move to other locations to shop or spend their leisure time.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
313			Introduce limited parking with discs in line with those in Harrogate and Northallerton.	See Comment G	Objection overruled
314			The majority of residents have not been adequately consulted and are against the proposals	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

315	140 Resident	The reason for parking restrictions is unclear, there is no parking problem around Ilkley town station. The restrictions will have a negative impact, will decrease economic activity and present a barrier for those who want to travel by train into Leeds and Bradford.	See comment E, additionally, Officers have received numerous complaints regarding parking around Ilkley Station for a sustained period of time, it is generally acknowledged the demand for parking in and around Ilkley station exceeds the number of spaces available.	Objection overruled
316		The proposals do not solve Ilkley's existing traffic problems, people will still need to get to Bradford and surrounding towns and this is limiting peoples use of transport without providing an alternative solution	See Comments P and C	Objection overruled
317		The current taxi rank poses a danger to the people crossing at the junction	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
318		The study does not look at alternative solutions like cycleways or alternative modes of transport	Officers are discussing alternatives with public transport providers and cycle groups.	Objection overruled
319		Objects because there is no benefit to Ilkley and if you want to take money from Ilkley, something needs to improve in return.	See Comment L	Objection overruled

320	141	Resident	The measures are extreme and will have a detrimental impact on small businesses	See Comments D and E	Objection overruled
321			parking near the Riverside should be allowed For visitors from nearby, for a 2-3 hour window, with possible charges after this point	There is a significant amount of free two hour parking on Stockeld Road and Bridge Lane which can be utilised for visitors to the Riverside area. Unrestricted parking will still continue to be available on the adjoining length of Denton Road.	Objection overruled
322			In the town centre, the first 2 hours should be free while near Railway and Station road, parking restrictions should be in force Monday to Friday	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
323			As a walker, there needs to be more enforcement of inappropriate parking blocking pavements, charging in the centre will only spread the problem outwards	Additional Wardens will be employed to enforce the restrictions in Ilkley. Blocked pavements and obstruction can only be enforced by West Yorkshire Police	Objection overruled
324			Residents who complain about not being able to park near their homes knew about the lack of parking when they purchased their homes.	See Comment X	Objection overruled
325	142	Not given	The proposals will not solve the bigger issue of not enough parking across the town, it will just move the problem further out	See Comments P and Q	Objection overruled



326	143	Not given	Lack of information, fundamentally flawed information, no numbers of spaces presently and in the future. The Council cannot accept the survey and base proposals on this.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
327			There is no system in place to run the proposal, this is reckless. Why are you rushing?	Systems will be operational prior to implementation.	Objection overruled
328			Learn from other towns such as Ambleside who have a car parking card system available in shops allowing free parking for a number of hours.	See Comment G	Objection overruled
329			The Council is reacting to a small number of residents complaints	See Comment AM	Objection overruled
330			Visitors to residents have not been addressed	All properties will be able to apply for a visitor permit	Objection overruled
331			Although you state permits will be free for the first year, you cannot expect people to accept a cost without knowing how much it will be	Any future charges to any cost for permits cannot be predicted but will be determined through democratic process.	Objection overruled
332			Signage and meters will be an eyesore	Officers are working with the conservation team to minimise adverse visual environment.	Objection overruled
333			How can the Council justify the expense on a "hunch"	These proposals have been developed over three years following extensive investigation from consultants.	Objection overruled

334		The current car park generates £250,00 a year but is not maintained. The funds generated show Ilkley needs more parking.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
335		30 minutes free will not encourage shopping. 4 hours max stay in long stay parking bays will reduce spends across the town.	See Comment AH. There is no 4 hour maximum stay in long stay areas.	Objection overruled
336	111 Resident	There is significant public opposition to the scheme	See Comment S	Objection overruled
337		The scheme is too heavy handed, doesn't address the need for parking and risks the economical potential of the town	See Comment D	Objection overruled
338		There is no justification for higher tariffs than the rest of Bradford	The tariffs in the rest of Bradford in the process of being reviewed Ilkley tariffs are less than tariffs in the centre of Harrogate and Skipton	Objection overruled
339		The proposed restrictions do not reflect the evidence of parking issues, nor is it flexible enough to support the needs of the community	The proposed restrictions have been formulated in response to numerous concerns and complaints expressed over a number of years, and meet recommendations from the Consultants review.	Objection overruled
340		There is a complete disregard for the feedback from the community.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

341	144	RESIDENT	<p>Commuting by train is an important factor to limiting highway traffic, reducing air pollution and reducing highway maintenance costs. In addition the increase in parking costs will limit tourism and local spend in the economy. A full cost benefit analysis should be independently undertaken which considers a range of options to address the problem and the best solution chosen with comment consent.</p>	See Comments C and M	Objection overruled
342			<p>Money should be given to the council to hold community level engagement on how to tackle the issue of parking reform. Another consultant should be engaged who won't be as 'narrow viewed' or 'one sided' as Steer Davis Gleave. A full economic appraisal is needed and should be made publicly available.</p>	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
343			<p>This is a problem created by Bradford City Council in their planning strategy of allowing the construction of more multi-storey flats in Ilkley with insufficient parking allowances for the flats residents and their visitors</p>	See Comment AK	Objection overruled

344	145	Resident	<p>The proposals will only push the problem outwards. Warlbeck is a development with a single lane with passing places and limited visitor parking. There is nothing to prevent anyone entering the development and parking. In summer the visitor parking is stretched and the passing places used for parking. In many instances emergency vehicles and delivery wagons block the single lane causing frustration and noise. If this becomes a problem what is the proposal to deal with the problem caused by these parking proposals?</p>	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
345			<p>What arrangements are made for staff working in Ilkley</p>	See Comment A	Objection overruled
346			<p>Pavement parking is not being addressed</p>	<p>Enforcement of pavement parking is a Police issue. In some problem areas, waiting restrictions are being introduced which are in affect to the back of the pavement.</p>	Objection overruled
347			<p>The parking bays on the Grove provide narrow lanes and two lorries cannot pass, the lorries cannot mount the pavement because of the trees causing frustrating a tail-back of traffic. What is in the current proposals to deal with this issue?</p>	<p>While this is not being considered as part of this scheme, any concerns regarding two way traffic along The Grove will continue to be monitored.</p>	Objection overruled

348	146	Resident	The proposals will increase the parking around Ben Rhydding Station	See Comment F	Objection overruled
349			Parking will increase on Wheatley Road, what consideration has been given to the road safety implications around Ilkley Grammar School?	See Comments Q, additionally, waiting restriction are proposed at areas around Ilkley Grammar School where road safety concerns have been identified.	Objection overruled
350			Businesses in Ilkley rely on staff being able to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
351			Businesses rely on customers being able to park but there is no additional provision.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
352			The objector cannot afford to pay to park in Ilkley to go to work and will have to apply for better paid jobs in larger cities.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
353			There will be a significant decrease in footfall which will affect shops, cafes and bars.	See Comment E	Objection overruled

354	147	Works in Ilkley	It seems to me that private residents and business residents alike bemoan the lack of parking spaces due to people driving in from outside the area, parking in Ilkley and getting on the train to Leeds all day to work. They contribute nothing to the town – they just use it for free parking. Why not make it so that there is free parking for business residents from, say, 8.30 to 6.00 every day so at least those who don't live here can still come to work and the commuters will move on. The two hour parking bays are vital for the shops and other small businesses	See Comments A and AH	Objection overruled
355	148	Resident	There is a need for a large commuter car park which would ease the strain on the residential streets.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
356			There have only been a handful of instances where the objector has not been able to park during the day	See Comment D	Objection overruled
357			Problems are caused by residents, not commuters who abandon their vehicles and park inconsiderately	There is no evidence of this being the case.	Objection overruled
358			Residents purchase houses knowing the parking problems	See Comment X	Objection overruled
359			The permit parking will only move the problem if more spaces are not created.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

360	149	Resident	The proposals are not in the best interest of the town, the businesses, or the majority of residents	See comments A, E and H	Objection overruled
361			There will be a reduction in people shopping, the town centre and surrounding streets will suffer	See Comment E	Objection overruled
362			The problem will be pushed to areas outside the town centre	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
363			People don't have a right to park outside their houses, people pay extra for drives.	See Comment X	Objection overruled
364			Discouraging people from parking will increase vehicle speeds, parked cars serve as traffic calming	Restrictions removing parking completely are only being proposed where there are existing obstruction problems concern displaced vehicles will create problems, or where obstructed sight lines cause road safety concerns.	Objection overruled
365			There should be investment in safe, green infrastructure	Officers are discussing alternatives with stakeholders and representative groups	Objection overruled
366			The proposals are a lazy idea to generate revenue.	See Comment L	Objection overruled

367			The study undertaken has not looked into the socio-Economic impact on the town in terms of how charging for parking in areas where there is currently no charges will have on the town economy and how people use the town.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
368			There has been no consideration into how it will affect employers in the town in terms of retention of staff, recruitment etc, we do not want Ilkley to be a town for residential homes, as a resident we want Ilkley to have a thriving economy to encourage new businesses to the town.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
369			The proposed orders do not actually address the issue of parking in Ilkley which is increasing capacity, the proposed orders will shift the problem into areas of the town that currently do not have any impact of commuter parking.	See Comment P	Objection overruled



370	150 Resident	The impact of tourism has been overlooked by the proposed orders, charging for parking near the park will discourage families who want a low cost day out but are spending some money in the town from coming to Ilkley to have a family day out, surely we should be encouraging this with regards getting more children into the fresh air.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
371		The Proposed orders cover 7 days a week when really the issue is a Mon-Fri issue, there is absolutely no grounds to have parking charges on a Sunday in Ilkley and I would also extend that out to Saturday on roads where they currently have no charges.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
372		The meters will not be aesthetically pleasing and will spoil the look of the town	Officers are working with the conservation team to minimise adverse visual environment.	Objection overruled
373		The objector has no problems finding a place to park, the restrictions will reduce the number of spaces making it more difficult	The consultants report identified this is not the case with the majority of people who responded.	Objection overruled
374		The solution is to stop commuter parking between 8.00am and 10.00am only	See Comment W	Objection overruled

375		Pedestrian safety around the taxi rank at the bottom of Railway Road, taxis should not be allowed to queue so close to the junction.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
376		The Schedule did not include details of Tariff Code 9	The full Tariff Order, including Code 9, was deposited at the library, it is not known when or whether the relevant page went missing. Only two comments were received after the closing date of the advertisement raising the issue of tariff code 9 not being available. In any case, Code 9 is plainly stated on the legal notice available at the library, it was advertised in the press, can be viewed in the notices on street , in the Order at City Hall and is on the Council's website.	Objection overruled
377		The associated 21 maps were in black and white and were difficult to understand	Back and white tiles have appropriate unique hatching, these tiles accompany consultation plans which are in colour for ease of reference.	Objection overruled

378		The exercise is incomplete without knowledge of tariffs for car parks	Car park tariffs are being progressed as a separate issue, although the proposals take into account any displacement as a result of new or amended car park tariffs	Objection overruled
379		Ilkley Civic Society object to the notice because of lack of clarity.	The plans on deposit are designed to clarify proposals.	Objection overruled
380		The proposals will displace commuter parking problems to outer areas	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
381		30 minute and 1 hour bays will be lost in favour of 2 hour max stay bays. 30 minute and one hour bays need to be retained near banks etc.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
382		Proposals all for residents parking on 2 sides of certain roads when in reality parking can only take place on one side. Double sided parking is only possible when vehicles park on pavements.	Wherever possible, parking has been proposed without restriction on both sides of roads so the restriction can be signed through entry and exit signs only, and individual bays do not need to be marked out. Should problems be identified through parking on both sides, the Council will consider protecting one side by prohibiting waiting.	Objection overruled

383	151 Civic Society	The loss of up to 600 parking spaces in residential areas will have a major effect on streets around the town, blocking drives, parking on pavements, parking on street corners and reducing visibility, blocking buses.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
384		There is insufficient provision for loading	Loading was considered as part of the review however, through initial consultation with businesses, proposals to introduce additional loading bays were deemed unnecessary and dropped	Objection overruled
385		Bus routes aren't shown on the plans and its therefore impossible to see if passing places are available.	The Council consulted with bus operators prior to formulation of proposals and will continue to discuss bus routes with operators	Objection overruled
386		Coach parking, one coach unloading area on west Street is insufficient	See Comment AR	Objection overruled
387		A65 should also have some no loading restrictions during peak hours along certain lengths.	Problems experienced with loading and unloading on A65 at peak times have not been identified.	Objections overruled
388		The taxi rank on Railway Road should be completely removed for road safety reasons.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled

389		Private land on Castle Hill and Yard are leased/owned by others	See Comment N, Castle Hill has been confirmed as adopted highway, there are some private parking spaces on Castle Yard incorrectly included.	Objection part upheld
390		How will the Council mark unsurfaced roads?	The proposals do not require any markings to be laid on unsurfaced roads.	Objection overruled
391		No cycle routes identified through the town centre when relining.	Officers are working with stakeholder groups to identify opportunities to improve cycle infrastructure.	Objection overruled
392		The Grove, parking should only be in the direction of travel on the north side and there should be a HGV restriction.	Moving vehicle restrictions including one ways and HGV bans are not being considered as part of this scheme. Parking cannot be restricted to one direction only without The Grove becoming one way.	Objection overruled
393		The proposals fail to identify the majority of the area are designated as conservation areas, the Council has a legal duty to preserve or enhance the area. The proposals do not mention Recommendation 7 stating an Urban Realm study should be conducted as part of the scheme. Concerns expressed over street cutter both visually and obstructing pavements.	All signing and lining will be introduced as per guidance for within conservation areas.	Objection overruled

394		Back roads, many of which have historic stone setts, should not be damaged by lining/signing.	Any works required on lengths of road with stone setts will be kept to a minimum	Objection overruled
395		Permit applications by purely electronic means will disenfranchise those especially elderly residents without IT knowledge and skills	While electronic application for permits will remain the recommended process, hard copy applications will be accepted. Application forms will be made available to anybody who does not have access to a computer.	Noted
396		The proposals represent a part solution and not a comprehensive solution.	See Comment V	Objection overruled
397		Concerns about staff who work in Ilkley and park all day	See Comment A	Objection overruled
398	152 Business	Concerns about clients who call in to drop off records and usually stay for 10 minutes max	Free parking for up to 30 minutes will still be available on Wharfe View Road, it is also proposed to amend proposals to introduce short lengths of free limited waiting on Nelson Road and Wellington Road	Objection part upheld

399			The 2 hour parking hardly gives people time to shop or have lunch.	The two hour maximum stay in the centre is as existing , There will remain opportunities to wait for in excess of two hours, but these will either be in paid for spaces, or a little further away from the centre.	Objection overruled
400	153	Resident	The proposals are a total nonsense and will stop people coming into town which will affect local businesses and shops	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled
401			People will park in Tescos and other private car parks causing a knock on problem for people shopping there.	Private car park operators may wish to introduce whatever parking controls they deem necessary to ensure parking amenity is maintained for their customers.	Objection overruled
402			Problems will move further out of town	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
403	154	Resident	The proposals will put people off visiting Ilkley. If shops are not supported, they will leave.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
404			Its nearly impossible to park in the town, the permit system will make it worse	See comment E	Objection overruled
405			It is just a money making exercise	See Comment L	Objection overruled
406			The objector accepts they will not always get parked outside their house so doesn't wish to have permits.	See Comment AM	Objection overruled

407	155	Residents	The property is just outside the controlled zone, the objectors need to park on the road, they are concerned displaced vehicles will lead to lack of on street parking for residents, visitors and tradespeople, and that access to the property is obstructed.	The restrictions can be relaxed for a short length outside this property	Objection part upheld
408	156	Not given	the proposals will shift the problems to other areas of the town	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
409			The proposals will destroy the towns businesses, volunteering ethos, and green belt in Ben Rhydding will become a car park.	See Comments F and H	Objection overruled
410			The Council has decided not to accept the recommendations in the Consultants report	See Comment T	Objection overruled
411			Everybody who pays a precept should receive a residents parking pass, or have the right to purchase one.	The payment of a precept has no bearing on these proposals	Objection overruled
412	157	Resident	There is no non residential parking on Westville Avenue, the proposed scheme will drive parking problems to streets further from the centre	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
413			It has potential to damage businesses due to lack of pay and display parking. Limit parking to 2 or 3 hours to ensure a turnover.	The pay and display parking in the town centre is proposed to be limited to 2 hours maximum stay to ensure a turnover	Objection overruled



414	158	Not given	There is not enough parking for commuters, residents parking will make it worse, a designated out of time parking area should be created.	See Comments C and P	Objection overruled
415			The lack of car parking spaces will have a negative effect on the objector using local shops.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
416	159	Resident	The proposals will increase the maximum stay on Regent Road to 3 hours making it an overflow for the main car park. It is 1 hour max presently and is already difficult to find a parking space. It should be 30 minutes free for non residents to ensure a high turnover.	The maximum stay on Regent Road will increase from 1 hour to 2 hours with a 30 minute free period to encourage turnover. While turnover on this particular road may decrease, the restrictions are consistent with other areas in the town centre.	Objection overruled
417			Has there been any market research to find out how these groups might be affected by the changes? Will visitors still be willing to come to the town if there is absolutely no chance of free parking and an inevitable struggle to find spaces, which will be a direct result of these proposed changes.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

418	160	Not given	With the current struggles of many high street shops and businesses in the new digital age and thriving online businesses, these new parking restriction could further damage our local shops, cafes, bars and restaurants. At worst, it could lead to some closing or moving which would also lead to a loss to your council in terms of business rates	See Comments L and H	Objection overruled
419			There is no alternative for commuters offered.	See Comments C and P	Objection overruled
420			The additional cost to business owners for The town will put additional pressures on businesses themselves or take a large portion of annual income.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
421			The Council still approves plans to build new homes but doesn't plan for future parking needs.	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
422	161	Resident and Management Company Director	People living in even numbered properties on Crossbeck road are not included in the residents parking scheme, despite not having enough off street parking.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
423	162	Resident	The Rhyddings and Hospital Walk are private roads but have been designated for residents parking. They should be removed from the scheme.	See Comment N, Hospital Walk has been confirmed as adopted highway	Objection overruled

424	163	Works in Ilkley	Objector offered employment at a garage, the Garage relies on on street parking and will need permits for customer and staff vehicles to continue to operate.	See Comment A, further customer requirements can be accommodated through three hour limited waiting proposed in close proximity to the garage.	Objection part upheld
425	164	Resident	Introducing Resident's Parking in Lister Street will result in a large reduction in the number of cars able to park on the street. There is currently insufficient parking on the street for the number of residents cars	Lister Street will become permit parking only but there will be no bays marked out to restrict the number of cars able to park.	Objection overruled
426			While the residents parking permits will initially be free we are very worried that a charge will be introduced in the future. (in view of Local Government's poor financial state)	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
427			There is nowhere in the vicinity for a second or subsequent visitor to park.	Visitor parking vouchers may be purchased should more than one visitor be required to park.	Objection overruled
428			Reducing the parking places for visitors to Ilkley will affect the viability of local shops as their trade will diminish.	See Comment E	Objection overruled

429			People who park in Ilkley and take the train for their onward journeys will find parking extremely difficult. This will increase the number and length of car journeys contributing to pollution and greenhouse gasses accelerating climate change	See Comment C	Objection overruled
430	165	Resident	Residents parking on Crossbeck Road will be limited to odd numbers only. Even numbered properties need to park on Crossbeck Road	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
431			Removing parking from Crossbeck Road will increase vehicle speeds.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
432	166	Place of Worship	Castle Yard, the public highway is incorrectly shown, there is a small strip of land associated with the church premises which is private. Vehicular access should be fully maintained at all times and permit restrictions extended to 10.00pm.	See Comment N, some parking bays are private and will be taken off the proposals.	Objection part upheld
433			There is already a TRO for Castle Hill and Castle yard which should be maintained.	The existing Traffic Regulation Order manages the movement of vehicles on Castle Hill. The proposed parking restrictions will supplement the existing restrictions rather than replace them, and will give the Council the powers to enforce parking on Castle Hill	Objection overruled

434			New Brook Street, the new restrictions to be made permanent are punitive. The church premises are used during the working week for mid term users up to 3 hours. Some parking spaces should be provided. There should be parking allowed on the east side of New Brook Street after 10.00am	Officer to discuss with the church.	Objection part upheld
435			Bridge Lane, needs medium term (3 hr parking) from Lister Street to Castle Road for users of church premises	Officers to discuss possible provision of worship permits for this area	Objection part upheld
436			The churches unique parking needs should be considered as part of the review.	Noted	Noted
437	167	Resident	The objector owns property on Kings Road which includes a section of Yewbank Terrace. The scheme would effectively confer rights to park on the objectors land to neighbours and anybody else in the designated parking zone. Inclusion in the zone would change the status, may affect development of the property, and may be charged in future to park on land the objector maintains.	See Comment N, Yewbank Terrace has been confirmed as unadopted public highway	Objection overruled
438			No account has been taken for people who shop and work in the town. Long stay will be limited.	See Comments A, E and C	Objection overruled
439			There needs to be an alternative solution for commuters	See Comment P	Objection overruled

440	168	Resident	The main issue is lack of parking	See Comment P	Objection overruled
441			A full study should be carried out in the summer to determine who parks where.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
442			It is not foreseeable that permits will remain free , you cannot have meetings, contractors, or even a party.	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
443			Objects to Sunday charges which are not necessary and beyond what Bradford car parks currently charge.	Sunday charges on street reflect the existing charging hours of the car park.	Objection overruled
444			Objects to removal of free spaces as this will push users into private car parks	Operators of private car parks have the opportunity manage their facilities as they deem fit	Objection overruled
445			Will no longer be able to pop to the park	Free limited parking will still be available on Stockeld Road close to the park	Objection overruled
446			Walkers will not be able to park on Stockeld Road	See Comment K	Objection overruled
447			New taxi rank will potentially be dangerous with taxis u-turning on a main road	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
448			Where are commuters supposed to park?	See Comment C	Objection overruled
449	169	Not given	Objects to proposals on Grove Road and Eaton Road	Objector would like to discuss this further, but doesn't offer specific reasons for objection. Officers will contact objector.	Noted

450	109	NOT GIVEN	Areas such as Denton Road and New Brook Street could be made pay and display because they are used by commuters.	This location will be monitored	Objection overruled
451	170	Resident	To ease pressure on parking, a site for a multi storey car park should be found.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
452	171	Resident	There is no need for residents parking in the Middleton area. Every house has their own drive. The proposals would restrict parking for visitors to the town	This location will be monitored	Objection overruled
453			8.00am to 6.00pm is too long, needs to be a few hours in a morning and evening only.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
454			Proposals do not address problems which is need for more parking, the proposals seem to be about raising mone	See Comments P and L	Objection overruled
455	172	Resident	Will not alleviate problems, commuters will still need somewhere to park. Additional parking should be built.	See Comments C and P	Objection overruled
456			Proposed additional housing in area will compound the problems.	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
457	173	Resident	The proposals will simply force parkers to block roads further afield and not cure the problem.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
458			The review states operational hours Mon to Sat but plans state Mon to Sun, which is it?	The restrictions will be in place Monday to Sunday as per the consultation plans. Schedule two will be modified accordingly.	Noted

459			Proposals are for no waiting at any time outside objectors property but parking there has never caused a problem, it should be restricted to 3 hours.	The restrictions can be removed for a short length and monitored post implementation.	Objection upheld
460	174	Not given	Additional comment, overriding problem is lack of parking in general. All users need to park. Park and ride is the answer for Ilkley. Money should be spent on this.	See Comments P and AL	Objection overruled
461	175	Business	The proposals are ridiculous and unfair, they are just a way for Bradford Council to increase their bank balance.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
462			The surveys were conducted in winter. The survey does not appear to have taken specific cognisance of the impact of proposals on the business community. As someone living and conducting most of my affairs within Ilkley, I have become aware through many conversations with local business people and people employed locally but living outside the town, that for those employed on low wages the proposals will make it impossible for many of them to continue to work in the town, as they will not be able to afford the increased costs. This is not acceptable.	See Comment AN	Objection overruled



463	176 Resident	The reduction in coach parking ( by half, I understand) seems perverse in a town which relies to a great extent on tourism.	See Comment AR	Objection overruled
464		The proposal to use virtual permits for residents' parking is unlikely to be effective, as it is unlikely to be monitored sufficiently to be effective in its implementation.	Enforcement of virtual permits is just as effective as enforcement of paper permits. Officers will be employed specifically to monitor Ilkley.	Objection overruled
465		In many of the streets where residents only parking is proposed, there is availability for part of the day while many residents are working. Would it not be possible to make these spaces available, between, say, 10.00am and 3pm so that commuters and local workers do not take up the spaces for the whole day, but some parking is available?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
466		The lack of any proposal to increase the total amount of parking through the development of a park and ride scheme, or similar, makes the scheme likely to have more adverse effects than positive ones.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
467			There is inadequate parking in Ilkley generally which is not dealt with	See Comment P

468	177	Resident	The objectors do not even know how many spaces there are now and the allocation to residents and non residents after the proposals are introduced	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
469			The objectors are all being encouraged to use the train, but there is a severe lack of commuter parking - these people need to park somewhere	See Comment C	Objection overruled
470			30 minutes is too shorter time for free parking, one hour would be more appropriate	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
471			These proposals will damage shops and Ilkley businesses, of that there is no doubt	See Comment E	Objection overruled
472			The proposals will place a huge cost on low paid workers in the Town - £1200-£1500 per annum	See Comment A	Objection overruled
473			These proposals will reduce the parking for visitors	See Comment E	Objection overruled
474			The proposals are expensive and not good value for money - £260,000 initial and £30,000 ongoing - albeit the cynic might feel this is a longer term ploy to raise yet more revenue?	See Comment AC	Objection overruled
475	178	Resident	Objects to parking charges lower down Kings Road, these would push parking of non residents outside the objectors property.	See Comment B	Objection overruled

476	179	Not given	It is just a fund raising exercise which will push the problems to other areas of the town. More parking needs to be created.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
477			There needs to be more proper planning	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
478	180	Resident and business owner	There needs to be a full review of additional parking facilities in and around Ilkley alongside other well thought out suggestions	See Comment P	Objection overruled
479			the consultation was not done correctly and was carried out during the winter time over a two day period. The consultation should have taken place over several months especially in the summer when Ilkley is particularly busy.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
480			The proposals to make residents parking on many of the roads will put more pressure on the parking not alleviate it.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
481			The suggestion for residents permit on roads such as Stockeld Road where there is virtually no residential homes will affect the business of 'Old Bridge Nursery'	Proposals to introduce residents only parking have already been amended to 3 hour limited waiting	Objection overruled
482			The parking plans will damage what is currently a thriving town and drive both residents and tourists away	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled

483	181	Resident	It will cause issues for those working in Ilkley most of whom are in retail and earn a basic income and paying daily fees will make them look for work elsewhere	See Comment A	Objection overruled
484			Ilkley is recognised as a commuter town for Leeds, Bradford & other cities, there is little or no parking for commuters and this on its own is what causes the problem.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
485			There are plans for 800 further homes bringing at least 1000 more cars, which will exacerbate the already acknowledged problem.	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
486			Bradford Council need to provide extra commuter parking	See Comment P	Objection overruled
487			Installing pay & display machines is yet another way for Bradford Council to make money, yet the money is not spent on or in Ilkley but used within the town centre of Bradford which does not benefit the residents of Ilkley	See Comment L	Objection overruled
488			The proposal should be postponed at least for further review or at best cancelled and looked at properly	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
489			182	Works in Ilkley	Would have to spend £5.00 a day, £25 a week that the objector would have to find from salary

490	182	WORKS IN LINE	There are no other reasonable alternative methods of transport from home in Silsden	See Comment A	Objection overruled
491	183	Not given	The objector understands it is frustrating not to be able to park home, however, these proposals will create more havoc for the town as a whole.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
492			The objector believes the current proposals will ultimately destroy the towns businesses and shift the problem to other areas of the town	See Comment H	Objection overruled
493			The proposal to create a parking facility in the Ben Rhydding is not any kind of answer. This is green belt land and must be protected, these areas were established to protect the countryside for future generations and these areas must not be violated. When coupled with the already congested area of road under the railway bridge in Ben Rhydding, more traffic would create a severe pedestrian/ traffic problem, especially with the number of children using the area already on their way to and from various schools.	See Comment F	Objection overruled

494			<p>The council agreed to spend money commissioning the report, and should abide by the forthcoming recommendations. If it was already a foregone conclusion, then the councillors should have an obligation to recompense the residents for money spent so far</p>	See Comments AC and T	Objection overruled
495			<p>All residents in Ilkley pay the same precept as defined by 'resident'. By this legal definition, everyone who pays the Ilkley precept should either receive a resident's parking pass, or have the same right to purchase one. I do not agree that certain residents are different in this regard in their use of the public highway.</p>	Payment of a precept has no bearing on these proposals	Objection overruled
496			<p>There will be a lack of parking for visitors due to surrounding parking becoming resident only, even during the day time when often residents are at work. There will not be adequate parking even with the suggested pay spaces. A more reasonable suggestion would be disc zones so people can still park for free on surrounding streets but for a limited time only. Or bringing in the residents only parking via disc zones or permits at times of day such as evening or early morning.</p>	See Comments E and G	Objection overruled

497	184	Resident	<p>The permit scheme will also cause a lack of parking for residents. The current proposals actually reduces parking for residents. On the narrow streets of Ilkley if spaces are mapped out to provide adequate parking on one side of the road, so people aren't parked on pavements illegally, this will actually half the amount of parking for residents.</p>	<p>Wherever possible, residents parking areas will be zonal with no actual bays marked.</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>
498			<p>Effect to local business. By bringing in this scheme it reduces available parking for visitors as most accessible streets will be resident permit only. It also changes the free parking to paid parking which will have a huge effect to the towns shops and convenient purchasers. 30 free parking is not long enough, there also won't be many of these spaces available compared to the free parking available now</p>	<p>See Comment E</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>
499			<p>Any reduction to tourism and purchases made within ilkley is a huge detriment to the town and will lead to less money spent within our economy. The ability not to be able to park easily and freely will have an impact on visitors, tourism and purchasing made within the town</p>	<p>See Comments E and H</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>

500	185	Works in Ilkley	Parking in Ilkley isn't great but it's not horrendous, why fix something that's not broken	See Comment AM	Objection overruled
501			When residents bought houses, they were aware parking was limited. The proposals will leave streets empty during the day.	See Comment X	Objection overruled
502			People want to drive down to the river and walk their dog or young family in the park. They will no longer be able to park for free.	Free parking for up to 3 hours is proposed on Stockeld Road and parts of Bridge Lane.	Objection overruled
503			Walking to work in Ilkley for 10 to 15 minutes may push people over the edge.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
504			People will be put off visiting Ilkley town centre who now choose to visit there over Skipton, Keighley, Bingley, Otley or Harrogate. This will affect local businesses.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
505	186	Not given	Objects to the proposals and requests further consultation be taken	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
506	187	Works in Ilkley	Works in Ilkley 5 days a week, is on an apprenticeship and cannot afford to pay each day. Requests further consultation takes place.	See Comments A and Z	Objection overruled
507			Requests further consultation takes place.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled



508	188	Works in Ilkley	Objector is a health care professional working in Ilkley, will be punished if objector has to increase commuting costs by paying for parking. Public transport is unreliable	See Comments A and AP	Objection overruled
509			residents on the outskirts will suffer because the problems will just move.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
510	189	Not given	Objects on the grounds that further consultation needs to take place.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
511	190	Works in Ilkley	Objects on the grounds that further consultation needs to take place.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
512			Staff and patrons of businesses will not be able to park within reasonable proximity to their work reducing viability of businesses in Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled
513	191	Not given	Objects on the grounds that further consultation needs to take place.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
514			The proposals will impact on the health care service provided to the community.	Special permits will be available for healthcare workers if necessary.	Objection overruled
515	192	Not given	Objects and requests further consultation be taken.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
516	193	Resident	Shares parking with businesses on Skipton Road just opposite Bolton Bridge Road with no problems. Under new proposals business clients will not be able to park near the park leading to inconvenience and loss of trade.	Free parking for up to 3 hours is proposed on Stockeld Road and parts of Bridge Lane.	Objection overruled
517			There is no mention of provision for visitors	See Comment E	Objection overruled

518			The proposals ignore business employees and their customers	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
519			There is no provision for additional parking	See Comment P	Objection overruled
520	194	Resident	Westville Close is not highway and is a private drive. Designation needs confirming and road needs to be removed from proposals	The status of Westville Close will be changed to private road, Westville Close will be removed from the scheme.	Objection upheld
521	195	Not given	Objects to the taxi rank being removed and extra rank is not needed by the train station. Parking better served for disabled, tourists and shoppers.	See Comments Y and U	Objection overruled
522	196	Resident	Westville Road is used as a drop off and pick up for young children at All Saints Primary School. Residents parking will mean Easby Drive will need to be used but is already congested. This will make it more dangerous for the many young children using it	Easby Drive has not been included within these proposals but will be monitored following implementation.	Objection overruled
523			Parking charges in the town centre will be detrimental to businesses and will put people off going into Ilkley town centre.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
524			There are too many areas being turned into residents parking. Where are people supposed to park when visiting businesses in residential areas such as the Auction house or Ilkley healing centre	See Comments D and E	Objection overruled

525			Parking around Ben Rhydding station will be worse.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
526	197	Resident	Parking problems are experienced on Richmond Place in evenings, proposed residents parking should be extended to 8.00pm	See Comment W	Objection overruled
527			Parking restrictions should be in place 7 days a week.	Parking restrictions are in force 7 days per week	Noted
528			The proposed no waiting lines at the top of Richmond Place extend slightly too far.	Agreed, proposals will be amended	Objection upheld
529			Objector would like to see more double yellow lines on Cowpasture Road and Railway Road. Dumped cars make it unsafe.	Cowpasture Road will be monitored.	Objection overruled
530	198	Resident	Proposals are an overreaction to a minor inconvenience Commuters should be encouraged to take the train.	See Comment AM	Objection overruled
531			Charges are unwelcome for Ilkley businesses and particularly those who commute into town.	See Comments A and C	Objection overruled
532			There should be more double yellows at dangerous junctions and free short stay parking in the centre of town	All junctions within the boundary of the scheme where parking has been identified as a problem will be protected by double yellow lines. Parking will remain free in the town centre for up to 30 minutes.	Objection overruled

533			The Council using this to generate revenue from visitors to Ilkley at the expense of local business.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
534	199	Visitor	The tariffs are set too high, Bradford Council just wants to "Milk" the town.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
535			Visitors and shoppers will be deterred from going to Ilkley.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
536			Why restrictions on Curly Hill, so far out but there has never been a parking problem	See Comment D	Objection overruled
537			Commuters may just cause problems elsewhere without additional parking.	See Comments Q and P	Objection overruled
538			Residents parking should not displace general parking by the park and river areas	See Comment D	Objection overruled
539			The necessary signage and marking cannot happen all at once because of the colossal scale of the proposals, and likewise a more gradual approach should be perused to find out what is effective and what may be unnecessary and undesirable	See Comments D and U	Objection overruled
540	200	Works in Ilkley	The lack of available free parking is detrimental, a car is required to go into work. The plans severely limit this	See Comment A	Objection overruled
541			Ilkley has a direct train service to two major Yorkshire cities, parking is required for this	See Comment AL	Objection overruled
542			Businesses in the town will suffer as visitors will decide to go elsewhere	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled

543	201	Resident	The Council is not addressing the real issue which is insufficient parking. Charging will discourage people from visiting and shopping	See Comments P and E	Objection overruled
544			A parking facility is needed for the railway station.	There are presently no opportunities available to provide any additional parking at Ilkley railway station.	Objection overruled
545	202	Resident	Alexandra Place is not listed in streets where residents will get permits	The notices were amended to include Alexandra Place and original ones replaced.	Objection overruled
546			The problems are likely to extend way beyond parking, there should be a coordinated plan to control the amount of traffic in Ilkley.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
547	203	Resident	The proposals will be extremely detrimental to the town and are for the sole purpose of generating income.	See Comments H and L	Objection overruled
548			The fundamental problem in Ilkley is lack of spaces. This proposal in no way addresses this issue. . Charging for parking in current parking bays will only increase income to Bradford Council.	See Comments D and L	Objection overruled
549			At a previous address a parking permit scheme was introduced. This may no fundamental change in the situation and again ultimately just led to increase charges to the local authority concerned.	See Comments D and L	Objection overruled

550	204	Resident	Banning non permit holders from some streets will just move the problem slightly further out of town.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
551			Businesses in Ilkley are struggling as on any high street. No business can operate with one or two permits. Employees will be unable to afford parking charges if they can find somewhere to park at all. Businesses will close and move out of town, shoppers and tourists will go elsewhere	See Comments A and H	Objection overruled
552			Particularly object to charges at the Lido. It is penalising sensible parking and those using the sports facilities on offer.	Charging at the Lido is not within the remit of this review	Noted

553	205	Resident	<p>Imposing the currently proposed parking scheme will only force the current commuters to find alternative areas to park rather than eliminate them. As a resident of Ben Rhydding, living on a road that forms a pedestrian route to Ben Rhydding train station, we already badly suffer from commuters parking on the residential streets to use the train link. Imposing the new planned parking restrictions will only exacerbate the situation, especially on Valley Drive, Strathmore Road, Craigmoor Road and all other residential streets within walking distance of the train line. If the currently proposed parking restrictions do proceed then all residents on residential roads around the train station in Ben Rhydding should also have parking permitted roads as have been proposed around Mornington Road and the like.</p>	See Comment F	Objection overruled
554			<p>The fundamental issue is the lack of parking, the proposed changes substantially reduce the number of spaces so should be abandoned.</p>	See Comment P	Objection overruled

555	206	Resident	Sedbergh Park is used solely for residents and a few employees of the post office who do not cause any problems	See Comment AA	Objection overruled
556			Increase the supply of parking and abandon the scheme	See Comment P	Objection overruled
557	207	Works in Ilkley	Asking the objector to pay to park when working would seriously reduce take home pay. Objector cannot afford the fares British Rail.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
558	208	Resident	Objects to the proposals to only issue permits to odd numbered properties on Crossbeck Road	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
559	209	Works in Ilkley	While there will potentially be workers permits, it has not been made clear what these will look like or how much they will cost.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
560			The scheme doesn't address the fact that there needs to be more parking to support the growing demand of the town.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
561			There is no concern for the impact it will have on residents that are outside these new arrangements	See Comments Q and AE	Objection overruled
562			There isn't enough parking in Ilkley	See Comment P	Objection overruled
563			Residents parking should be altered to be 4.30pm to 10.00am, most commuters will need to park outside these times and and people wanting to shop in Ilkley will be able to	See Comment W	Objection overruled



564	210	Not given	If more disabled spaces are created from existing spaces then again the current parking available is reduced and most of the time the current disabled spaces in Ilkley tend to be empty (or occupied illegally)	See Comment AF	Objection overruled
565			If commuters are stopped from parking, the problem will just go elsewhere	See Comment M	Objection overruled
566			A lot of businesses will suffer as shoppers will go elsewhere or not stay as long.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
567			The other problem for businesses is staff parking, staff having to potentially give up their jobs if they can't afford.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
568	211	Works in Ilkley	The scheme is reducing the amount of parking for workers and visitors, not increasing it. Workers cannot afford to pay over £500 per year.	See Comments A and L	Objection overruled
569			Its not clear how workers permits will work, or what they will cost	See Comment A	Objection overruled
570			Poor lighting makes walking in the dark dangerous	See Comment AR	Objection overruled
571	212	Student	Cowpasture Road is the main parking place for students of Ilkley Grammar School, many of whom travel long distances due to no bus service. Objects to paying for parking as the whole idea is a ridiculous money making scheme.	See Comments L and AP	Objection overruled

572	213 Resident	The scheme will pose a risk to the businesses in the town, the restrictions in terms of both numbers and time will impact directly on businesses, customers and staff.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
573		The cinema has just invested in a new screen, films can easily take longer than 2 hours	Some areas of 3 hour limited waiting are proposed on surrounding streets during the day, there are no restrictions on parking after 6.00pm	Objection part upheld
574		Commuters will find other unrestricted places in Ben Rhydding, Burley or Menston merely transferring the problem.	See Comments and M	Objection overruled
575		The policing of the scheme will significantly alter the ambiance of what is currently a pleasant town. Traffic Wardens (no doubt employed by an external contractor) will operate with one sole aim, to catch as many people as possible parking illegally. The result will be tension and aggravation. Businesses will need to monitor their own car parks more effectively. Streets will be left unoccupied and a pleasant place to live will be ruined.	Enforcement Officers will be employed directly by the Council, there will be no bonus or target for charge issues but Officers will have a duty to consistently enforce all vehicles observed parking in contravention to the Order. It is unlikely any street will be left unoccupied, any spare parking capacity identified can be re-allocated for use by workers in Ilkley.	Objection overruled

576			The problem is that there is insufficient parking places, put another floor on the Tesco car park, a multi storey in the town centre, or more parking at Ben Rhydding.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
577	214	Works in Ilkley	The scheme is reducing the amount of parking for workers and visitors, not increasing it. Workers cannot afford to pay over £500 per year.	See Comments P and A	Objection overruled
578			Its not clear how workers permits will work, or what they will cost	See Comment A	Objection overruled
579			Poor lighting makes walking in the dark dangerous	See Comment AR	Objection overruled

580	215	Business / Amenity	<p>Ilkley Arts studios attract visitors and workshop attendees coming to courses and events run in them. They run around 250 workshops a year for children and adults – some are all day and some part day. They also expect to run at least 8 – 10 contemporary art exhibitions in the studio spaces during the year each of these, based on what has happened previously, these will attract around 200 visitors each. Visitors to our exhibitions include those with a disability, vulnerable and elderly people both during the day and in the evening. Most of our visitors will require parking either in the main car park and on street parking locally. Reduction in parking will have a seriously adverse impact on the working undertaken by Ilkley Arts and Art School Ilkley.</p>	<p>Parking will continue to be available but with a charge. Officers to discuss parking and permit provision with Ilkley Arts House if this doesn't meet all their parking needs.</p>	<p>Objection part upheld</p>
581	216	Works in Ilkley	<p>Its unfair to charge Ilkley workers and visitors. General/train commuters take most of the spaces. Workers would greatly appreciate parking permits.</p>	<p>See Comment A</p>	<p>Objection overruled</p>

582	217	Works in Ilkley	Whilst the objector recognises that the limited parking for residents, employees and visitors of the town is something which needs to be addressed, the proposed parking scheme only seems to reduce the amount of parking for workers and visitors, not increase.	See Comments D and P	Objection overruled
583			There is potential for workers permits but its unclear how this works, whether it will satisfy all requirements meaning workers will have to pay or park further away.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
584			Walking further raises potential safety concerns, particularly on dark evenings.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
585			The scheme fails to recognise that what is actually required is more parking to support growing demand, and rather just supports local residents based near to the centre with no concern for the impact it will have on the residents that are outside of these new arrangements, not to mention workers and visitors	See Comments P, A and E	Objection overruled
586				The proposals are in part a way of raising money rather than improving parking for all residents and go against current Government concerns over the future of the town centre	See Comments L and R

587	218	Resident	Why are the Council introducing limits on roads with few residents? Such as Wells Rd, Wells alk, Lower Brook Street, Denton Road, Middleton Avenue, Stockeld Rd?	See Comments AA and D	Objection overruled
588			Removing parking will increase vehicle speeds	Parking will only be removed completely at locations where it presently causes obstruction or road safety problems.	Objection overruled
589			What does the visitor parking disc cost and where can it be used?	See Comment G	Objection overruled
590			Reduced central night time parking will be a security risk for residents	There are no night time restrictions proposed	Objection overruled
591			Have the Council considered a parking disc for residents areas?	See Comment G	Objection overruled
592			Have the Council considered the needs of residents in the greater Ilkley area? Residents catch trains to Leeds and Bradford reducing congestion	See Comment AE	Objection overruled
593			Where will the workforce park?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
594	219	Works in Ilkley	These charges would put people off employment in Ilkley, promoting people to go further afield and so would have damage on the Ilkley economy	See Comments A and H	Objection overruled
595			Works park on Weston Road, public transport does not connect Ilkley to Skipton	See Comment A	Objection overruled

596	220	Resident	The objector lives on Albany Walk. it is proposed that resident parking is to be on the south (opposite) side of this street. The objector will be parking outside neighbour's properties, not his own. Can this be addressed.	See Comment AA	Objection overruled
597	221	Resident	The current plans for parking in Ilkley need to be amended. Any solution needs to balance the needs of residents, visitors and people working in or visiting the businesses of ilkley. Ilkley can not afford to lose either its businesses or its tourists and the plans, as they stand, are very likely to cause just that by restricting daytime parking.	See Comments D and A	Objection overruled
598			A permit scheme will provide residents with access to parking close to their homes. However, enforcing permits during the working day will leave the town with empty streets, when residents drive their cars to work, that no one else can park in. Permits from 5.15pm to 8.45am would leave spaces for Ilkley business employees and visitors	See Comments AF and W	Objection overruled
599			Proposals ignore the needs of people who work in Ilkley and the needs of those who commute by rail out of town	See Comments A and C	Objection overruled

600	222 Ilkley	There are several streets where parking will now be restricted to just one side of the road and that will be residents parking only. By how much do these plans reduce the 'space permissible for parking' and the 'space permissible for non-resident parking' in the town centre and nearby? That is in the area considered by this review, not the whole of Ilkley.	Parking will only be removed completely at locations where it presently causes obstruction or road safety problems.	Objection overruled
601		There is a huge reduction in places where long stay parking will be possible. Roads which allowed parking all day e.g. Wells Road, and others or long periods like behind the library on Whitton Croft Road (which was 5 hours) will now be reduced to 2 hours.	See Comments P and U	Objection overruled
602		Those visiting the cinema will need more than 2 hours.	It is proposed to introduce some limited waiting for up to three hours in nearby streets where there are no residential frontages.	Objection part upheld
603		Restrictions in residential streets are not needed on Saturdays	See Comment AA	Objection overruled
604		How many spaces will there be where people can park for over 5 hours within 5 and 10 minutes walk of the Grove/Bridge Street junction?	Officers will review and advise the objector accordingly	Noted



605		Where are people working in Ilkley going to park? The balance needs adjusting.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
606		Will bus services be improved?	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
607		Has the Council discussed increased parking availability with Northern Rail?	Discussions are ongoing with WYCA and public transport operators.	Noted
608		Where are commuters going to park if they cannot park on residential streets?	See Comment C	Objection overruled
609		There are roads covered that do not need to be covered such as Albany Road, Wells Road and Wells Walk	See Comment D	Objection overruled
610		Why haven't the yellow lines been continued down Railway Road, there are bottle necks that will remain	There are two areas of Railway Road where parking remains on both sides. These lengths are limited and should not cause disruption.	Objection overruled
611	223 Business	The business has over 400 clients every week, the majority staying over 2 hours. Up to 50 at once. The proposals will have a major detrimental effect. Longer stay parking in the town centre is too far away. Clients are regular and will go elsewhere instead of paying extra for parking.	See Comments D and P	Objection overruled
612		The proposals would affect other businesses in the Corn Mill as well	See Comment A	Objection overruled
613		Commuters will park along Valley Drive or in Ben Rhydding.	See Comments C and F	Objection overruled

614			Yellow lines running down from the Ilkley Fitness Centre to the Scholars Place development be removed and replaced with a free 2 hour time limit or with a free initial 30 minutes parking restriction. this would free up parking for clients yet also deter long stay commuters.	It is proposed to introduce some lengths of three hour limited waiting to meet the needs of customers visiting businesses in this area.	Objection part upheld
615	224	Business	30 mins free parking. It has been suggested this can be used for loading and unloading. It is not long enough. A 1 hour free parking period would greatly assist me.	It is proposed to introduce some lengths of three hour limited waiting to meet the needs of customers visiting businesses in this area.	Objection part upheld
616			Pay and display throughout available spaces on Railway Road. A permit zone specifically for use of Corn Mill tenants would be welcome	See Comment A, also, it is proposed to introduce some lengths of three hour limited waiting to meet the needs of customers visiting businesses in this area.	Objection part upheld
617			The double yellow lines on Railway Road will reduce available parking and encouraged people to park both sides of the road where they can causing bottlenecks	Parking on Railway Road will remain where it does not cause significant obstruction concerns. There will be some lengths where Double yellow lines are the minimum length to protect bus stops and junction sight lines.	Objection overruled

618			Day commuters will simply park close to another railway station such as Ben Rhydding or Burley causing problems there , or along Valley Drive.	See Comments C and F	Objection overruled
619	225	Resident	The objector does not want regulated parking. It is incompatible for a street that is home to several businesses, including Hartley's, the Healing Centre, Town & Country offices, the gym and studios behind properties on Nelson Road. These businesses need their customers to have access to parking, Hartleys in particular. Residents put up with the parking problem because they like living close to the centre of the town.	It is proposed to introduce some lengths of three hour limited waiting to meet the needs of customers visiting businesses in this area.	Objection part upheld
620			There is no provision for additional parking in the town, the proposals will not therefore solve the long standing problems.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
621	226	Resident	The proposals will have a damaging impact on commercial life discouraging visitors. Trading will suffer, the community is at risk of being destroyed	See Comment H	Objection overruled

622			The property is just outside the scheme, the proposals will restrict parking outside the property. There are seven flats with limited off street parking	The proposals can be relaxed to allow a short length of unrestricted parking. The site will be monitored post implementation	Objection part upheld
623	227	Resident	Crossbeck Road is not included in the scheme, parking will be displaced onto Crossbeck Road. There is already a parking problem associated with Ilkley Grammar School and the Craiglands Hotel. Parked vehicles obstruct site lines from the objectors drive.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
624	228	Resident	The proposals will stifle town centre businesses and simply move the parking problems elsewhere. The streets around Ben Rhydding Station are already full from commuter parking	See Comments H, M and F	Objection overruled
625			Objector would like to see an annual paid for pass introduced for residents of Ilkley and Ben Rhydding to park in pay and display areas. Funds raised to go to enforcement or to fund Ilkley BID	There are no proposals to offer discounts to residents of Ilkley or Ben Rhydding.	Objection overruled
626	229	Business	The proposals do not address the current lack of parking in Ilkley, it places further restrictions which could exacerbate the situation.	See Comments P and D	Objection overruled
627			The loss of free spaces up to 2 hours could impact on customer numbers	See Comment E	Objection overruled

628			There are proposals to off employees permits but there is no guarantee each business be met. There is also a cost implication.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
629	230	Works in Ilkley	Has parked on Parish Ghyll Road for 11 years but not once received residents objections.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
630	231	Business	Works 4 days a week and needs to park without charges	See Comment A	Objection overruled
631	232	Resident	Objector owns land to the centre of Back Albany Walk but will require a permit to park, asks for information regarding the legal basis on which she is required to apply for a permit to park on her own land.	See Comment N, Back Albany Walk has been confirmed as unadopted public highway.	Objection overruled
632	233	Works in Ilkley	By putting parking restrictions in place within the town centre this is going to put pressure on people having to park further away out of the town and for those who have parental/care responsibilities before work are going to be under pressure which will more than likely result in excess of current speed limits, cars being damaged and the risk of people being knocked over all to find a parking space and arrive to work on time. (large volume of children attend both Ilkley Grammar school and Ashland's primary school).	See Comments A and Q	Objection overruled

633		<p>Ilkley is a rural town and houses are available with private residential parking, therefor when residents have visited Ilkley for house viewings will have realised that parking is very limited and should have taken this into consideration when purchasing the home.</p>	See Comments W and AP	Objection overruled
634		<p>By putting the parking restrictions in place will more than likely result in loss of business to the town centre resulting in business failure.</p>	See Comment H	Objection overruled
635		<p>The tariffs proposed unduly punish commuters and visitors to Ilkley and unduly favour residents, providing residents with a financial windfall. This is a grossly unfair and a vexatious application of powers.</p>	See Comments A, E and X	Objection overruled
636		<p>The tariffs will do untold damage to local business. Commuters will not call in to local stores and visitors will choose not to make the journey to Ilkley.</p>	See Comment H	Objection overruled

637		<p>The objector is a resident of Addingham Moorside. Aside from bin collections, he receives no support whatsoever from the council (occasionally you attempt unsuccessfully to fill our potholes on the cheap).They certainly don't benefit from a local bus service or other transport system. The Council is therefore penalising in particular the rural community around Ilkley town centre.</p>	See Comment AE	Objection overruled
638	234 Resident	<p>The Council cannot point to any majority support for these proposals. Consultation responses showed a majority of respondents were against it. The Council no doubt feels compelled to introduce something due to wasting a significant amount of money engaging consultants to review the parking situation in Ilkley. Your solution to save face is to fix something that isn't broken.</p>	See Comments T and AC	Objection overruled

639			It is unclear what problem the Council is trying to solve. If it's to address residents parking, it is unclear why roads without houses adjoining them (e.g. Kings Road) have been included in the proposal. If it's to provide more visitor parking, query why even short stay parking is chargeable (and there is ample visitor parking in Ilkley in any event). If it's to improve traffic flow, why are roads not affected by heavy traffic included. All this highlights an ill thought through proposal rushed through to justify indulgent external advisor outlay.	See Comments C, D, T and Z	Objection overruled
640	235	Works in Ilkley	Objector works in Ilkley town centre along with 24 colleagues. No direct trains. Permits should be for weekends so Mondays to Fridays empty streets can be utilised by workers and visitors.	See Comments A and W	Objection overruled
641	236	Works in Ilkley	Objector works in Ilkley town centre along with 24 colleagues. No direct trains. Permits should be for weekends so Mondays to Fridays empty streets can be utilised by workers and visitors.	See Comments A and W	Objection overruled
642			Problem being made much worse by the constant building in Ilkley	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
643			Increasing parking costs on South Hawksworth Street will not help local shops	See Comment E	Objection overruled



644	237	Works in Ilkley	The objector os a parent with 2 children who needs to use car to drop kids off and arrive at work on time. The objector earns a low wage so paying for parking would make her reconsider working.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
645			Has to park on the residential streets because the car park is full.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
646			The town may die if the workers may not be able to bring their cars in	See Comment H	Objection overruled
647			Tourists will have nowhere to park	See Comment E	Objection overruled
648			Most residents are at work between 8 and 5 so let public park in between those times.	See Comment G	Objection overruled
649	238	Resident	Most residents have 2 or more cars so residents parking will make no difference.	Removal of commuters from residential areas will have a significant benefit for residents in general.	Objection overruled
650			Neighbours will apply to pave their front gardens to accommodate cars.	This will be considered through the Planning process.	Objection overruled
651			Its simply a scheme to extract money from Ilkley residents	See Comment L	Objection overruled
652			The objector has also been made aware that Knaresborough adopted a similar punitive parking scheme for outside visitors and businesses have suffered greatly. I object to the changes and suggest you leave well alone and not inflict misery on the Town	See Comment H	Objection overruled

653	239	Works in Ilkley	People working in Ilkley need somewhere to park. Public transport is not always available, parents also need to pick up and drop off children	See Comment A	Objection overruled
654			The objector could walk into Ilkley but would not be able to carry heavy shopping home	Opportunities will still be available to park in Ilkley when the objector plans to purchase heavy items, but at a cost.	Objection overruled
655			Problem being made much worse by the constant building in Ilkley	See comment AK	Objection overruled
656			Increasing parking costs on South Hawksworth Street will not help local shops	See Comment H	Objection overruled
657	240	Business	The objector has 4 staff, permits for staff would help.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
658			Clients and other businesses would be affected, 2 hours free parking would deter commuters but give visitors to local businesses somewhere to park.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
659	241	Works in Ilkley	Objector commutes from Colne, there is no train link. If pay and display was brought into operation, it would cost the objector up to £100 per week so will not be able to support the local economy.	See Comment C	Objection overruled

660			The proposals are for residents' only parking Monday to Sunday 8am to 6pm. In order to limit commuter parking, which is a Monday to Friday problem, there is no need for the residents' zones to be in place on Saturdays and Sundays.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
661			The times proposed are unduly restrictive. To limit commuter parking, all that is necessary is to limit parking in residents' zones to a period of one to two hours in the middle of the day.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
662	242	Resident	The long stay pay and display on the section of Kings Road opposite the Baptist Church, since it includes Saturdays and Sundays will have a profoundly disadvantageous effect both on worshippers at the Baptist Church (on Sundays) and on players in the Two Rivers Concert Band (which rehearses on Saturdays from 9am to about 1pm). If the charging regime were simply Monday to Friday this problem would disappear.	The need for parking will be discussed with the church. Paid for parking will be available around the church with free unrestricted parking still being available a short distance away.	Objection part upheld

663	243	Business	The introduction of marked bays will result in fewer overall on-street parking spaces than is currently the case. it does not seem practical or desirable to reduce the on-street parking provision, without adding extra spaces through an expansion of the existing car-parking provision elsewhere	It is not proposed to introduce marked bays in the majority of residents parking zones.	Objection overruled
664			The current scheme would appear to provide resident permits, whilst at the same time reducing the number of spaces available to residents, making the challenge of finding parking even worse.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
665	244	Resident	Not only will the new parking regulations be of considerable inconvenience to the objector personally but of far more importance is the impact that they will have on the local businesses, these new parking regulations will reduce the trade to the town both locals and visitors alike.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
666			The people that are pleased with the proposals knew about the parking problems when they bought their properties.	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
667			Ilkley needs a second car park large enough to cope with demand, the proposals will just push the problems further out.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

668			The sole motivation is financial by Bradford Council.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
669	245	Resident	The scheme only seems to move the problem further into residential areas of the town.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
670			Ilkley will no longer be a town that people can quickly pop down into, as parking charges and time limits will naturally deter it as being an easy and desirable location to shop - this will have a huge negative impact on the businesses there.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
671			The scheme doesn't address the fact that what is actually needed is more parking to support the growing demand and just supports the local residents based near to the centre with no concern for the impact it will have on the residents that are outside of these new arrangements.	See Comments P and AE	Objection overruled
672			The entire construct of the review and subsequent proposals has failed to consider the wider context of Ilkley's role for its residents, communities further up Wharfedale and the urban conurbations of Bradford and Leeds.	See Comments A, C and E	Objection overruled

673	246	Resident	Ilkley is not only residential, it is also a place of tourism, retail, commerce, and for commuters from farther afield to board trains into Leeds and Bradford. The proposals will materially damage the economies of Ilkley and further afield.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
674			Any short term gain for residents will be superficial relative to the deep economic loss which will be felt by the town and Bradford in the medium to long term.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
675	247	Not given	Raises concerns over cost, disruption and inconvenience to the Council, residents, visitors and Businesses.	The proposals will generate a surplus, there are in excess of 50 permit schemes presently and successfully in operation across the district.	Objection overruled
676			Proposes land unused near Ben Rhydding Station could be used as a Car Park for Ilkley commuters thus freeing up valuable space in the centre of Ilkley Town for visitors.	See Comment AL	Objection overruled
677			Proposes the times of the 962 bus be extended for commuters in the morning and evening to connect with Ilkley train times.	See Comment AQ	Objection overruled

678		Objects to the proposed council scheme because it will drive visitors away from Ilkley as there would be nowhere to park in the town thereby effecting the businesses and the town's vibrancy.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
679		All the existing parking provision is used up 7 days a week.	See Comments D and W	Objection overruled
680		Implementing the residents parking will mean there will be no realistic place to park at all, so visitors will give-up visiting the town.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
681	248 Works in Ilkley	The scheme only seems to reduce the amount of parking for workers and visitors not increase.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
682		Understands there are potential options for workers permits, however, it has not been made clear what this will look like, how much it will cost and it has already been stated that this will not satisfy all the requirements for every business, meaning people will either have to pay or park further away and walk in.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
683		Poor street lighting will make walks to cars feel unsafe on darker evenings.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
684		Cannot afford to pay over £500 a year to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

685			The scheme is not supportive of businesses or workers, what is needed is more parking spaces.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
686	249	Resident	The proposals don't reduce the need for parking, they just make it more expensive and push the problems to other streets.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
687			Crossbeck Road is not included in the scheme, parking will be displaced onto Crossbeck Road. There is already a parking problem associated with Ilkley Grammar School and the Craiglands Hotel. Parked vehicles obstruct site lines from the objectors drive.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
688			The only solution is an extra parking deck somewhere	See Comment P	Objection overruled
689			The tariffs are simply too high, 1 hour free parking and then a lower charge of 50p for up to 2 hours, higher charges for longer should be encouraged, free parking for shoppers is crucial to local businesses.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
690					The scheme only seems to reduce the amount of parking for workers and visitors not increase.



691	250	Works in Ilkley	Understands there are potential options for workers permits, however, it has not been made clear what this will look like, how much it will cost and it has already been stated that this will not satisfy all the requirements for every business, meaning people will either have to pay or park further away and walk in.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
692			Poor street lighting will make walks to cars feel unsafe on darker evenings.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
693			Cannot afford to pay over £500 a year to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
694			The scheme is not supportive of businesses or workers, what is needed is more parking spaces.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
695	251	Not given	Objector can't understand the logic behind depriving even number Crossbeck Road residents the right to park on street.	See Comment AA	Objection overruled
696			The full details of the scheme are not yet being made public, for example how many workers permits will be available, how these will work and what the cost will be. This could have serious consequences to workers and their employers	See Comment A	Objection overruled

697	252	Not given	No consideration has been given to the impact on businesses in the town centre who rely on footfall to survive. Research shows that an increase in parking charges has a detrimental impact on visitor numbers	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
698			The proposals simply move the problem to other areas which are equally ill-equipped to cope	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
699			The current proposals should be halted until further research is done to take into consideration all stakeholders	See comment Z	Objection overruled
700	253	Bus operator	No objections but would like to see the introduction of residents only parking one side of the road at Kings Road with double yellows on the opposite side, to help bus service 962.	The bus operators were consulted and waiting restrictions are proposed on Kings Road to minimise obstruction to bus routes. If further obstruction takes place outside the area covered, this will be monitored	Noted
701	254	Resident	Proposals do not consider the wider car parking problems and will only serve to move the current parking problem to the outskirts of the town.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
702			Ben Rhydding suffers badly from random commuter parking, the proposals, without any additional spaces being found, will make the problems worse	See Comment F	Objection overruled

703	255	Resident	The knock on effect of the proposals will be that there will be parking on both sides of Kings Road from Westville Avenue upwards. With displaced cars parked both sides, the 962 bus won't be able to get through. Also there is a safety risk as they would impede fire engines and emergency services.	The bus operators were consulted and waiting restrictions are proposed on Kings Road to minimise obstruction to bus routes. If further obstruction takes place outside the area covered, this will be monitored	Objection overruled
704			Parking should be allowed on one side of Kings Road only.	Kings Road will be monitored	Objection overruled
705			Residents of Kings Road are being discriminated against compared to other residential streets which are a similar distance from the town centre but will be reserved for residential parking. The north side of Kings Road should be reserved for residential parking.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
706	256	Business	The proposals would push people more to Skipton and Otley where parking is much more accessible, and leave Ilkley struggling to survive.	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled
707			As most areas will be 4 hour bays, will need to move vehicle at lunch. Workers will struggle even more to find a place to park. Will workers even be able to afford to pay for parking?	See Comment A	Objection overruled

708		Visitors will just bypass Ilkley leaving Ilkley as a ghost town,	See Comment E	Objection overruled	
709	257	Works in Ilkley	The scheme only seems to reduce the amount of parking for workers and visitors not increase.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
710			Understands there are potential options for workers permits, however, it has not been made clear what this will look like, how much it will cost and it has already been stated that this will not satisfy all the requirements for every business, meaning people will either have to pay or park further away and walk in.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
711			Poor street lighting will make walks to cars feel unsafe on darker evenings.	See Comment AR	Objection overruled
712			Cannot afford to pay over £500 a year to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
713			The scheme is not supportive of businesses or workers, what is needed is more parking spaces.	See Comments A and P	Objection overruled
714			Concerns raised, Issues raised governing Residents Parking both in the daytime and night times for those without garages	See Comment J	Objection overruled
715		Cost of parking and recruitment of staff for businesses	See Comment A	Objection overruled	

716	258	Resident	Proposed virtual parking tickets for those without smart or iPhones	Permit application by hard copy form will be available to those without access to computers or smart phones.	Noted
717			Assurance of Emergency vehicle access having been taken into account?	The emergency services have been consulted as part of this project, where identified, waiting restrictions have been proposed to minimise any obstruction.	Objection overruled
718			No new Car Parks?	See Comment P	Objection overruled

719	259	9 Residents	There should be double yellow lines on the south side of Kings Road from Westville Rd to South Parade, and residents only parking down the north side. The reason being the proposals would not solve the towns parking problems and displaced vehicles would cause additional problems	See Comments B, E and Q	Objection overruled
-----	-----	-------------	---	-------------------------	---------------------

720	260	Resident	Supports scheme but questions Castle Road being proposed for resident only, it shouldn't be designated as a parking area.	See Comments N and D	Support noted, Objection overruled
721	261	Resident	Objector lives on Sedbergh Park and that the proposals will have a detrimental effect as regards flexible parking.	Sedbergh Park is close enough to the town centre to become attractive to commuters if it is left unrestricted. The proposals and permit issue are designed to have minimal effect on residents and businesses. Enforcement will be by zone entry sign so bays will not be required.	Objection overruled
722			The general proposals will only make the current Parking situation more difficult than it currently is, with severe commercial consequences for the town, as a result of which the objector feels the whole proposals should be abandoned.	See comments A and E	Objection overruled
723			Ilkley has a shortage of Parking and restrictions will not help, it will hinder more people than it will help. The only solution is to have more Parking, car parks, out of town Parking with Park and ride.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

724	262	Not given	The proposals will have a severe impact on the economy of the town, particularly impacting on day tourist visitors, and crippling local trade.	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled
725			Proposals are not based on any reliable or published research therefore inadmissible in an evidence based context	See Comment T	Objection overruled
726			Objectives not clearly described therefore the effect of the proposals is impossible to measure.	The objectives of the scheme are to address increasing issues around congestion and parking within Ilkley town centre. The proposed scheme will meet these objectives.	Objection overruled
727			Cost and revenue projections appear to be unsupported by fact. Additionally, digital licences and permits are not proven and will likely result in less than optimum enforcement.	Costs and revenue projections have been formulated using assumptions based on fact, and up to date quotes. Digital licenses and permits are already successfully in place in other authorities	Objection overruled
728			263	Resident	Proposals likely to drive trade from the town resulting in loss of jobs and businesses leading to economic downturn.



729			Proposals likely to reduce commuter traffic from the town, who in themselves bring some economic benefit to the town, potentially impacting on the train services from the town at a time when local authorities should be actively encouraging the use of public transport.	See Comments E and U	Objection overruled
730			Proposals likely to extend the problem of parking further out into areas currently unaffected.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
731			Proposal for two taxi ranks are unnecessary and ill considered from a safety viewpoint.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
732			Proposal has not addressed the core situation of lack of overall parking availability.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
733	264	Not given	Objects to the proposals and requests further consultation be taken	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
734			The proposals will just move the problems around, the objectors live on Kings Road just after the restrictions end and are going to be deluged with long stay parking. In future the wide empty streets further away from the town centre that are peaceful and a delight for visitors to walk around will be crammed full of cars.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
	265	Resident			

735	265	Resident	Off street parking has been given up because of the availability of on street parking. It would be difficult now to recover those areas for parking.	The Council will continue to explore all options for creating additional parking for all customer groups.	Objection overruled
736			The majority of people support more long term parking being created, there is a need for parking for those people working in Ilkley, commuting and visiting as a tourist.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
737	266	Resident	30 minute free stay should be extended to 1 hour so people can visit more than one shop, get a quick coffee and grab a sandwich/newspaper.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
738			The proposals will have a negative effect on the centre of the town with the businesses and shops seeing a reduction in the number of visitors	See Comment E	Objection overruled
739	267	Works in Ilkley	This will cause a serious problem for commuters as there won't be enough parking	See Comments C and P	Objection overruled
740			Permits from 5.00pm to 9.00am would be more beneficial and would allow visitors to park in the streets during business hours.	See Comment W	Objection overruled

741	268	Not given	There is no point in punishing the town by implementing such a ridiculous tariff system. If the changes have to come about, 2 hours free parking, then a gradual charge per hour. This would ensure a good turn around. Having different charges in different areas would cause a lot of confusion.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
742	269	Resident	The objector doesn't own a car but hires a car a few times a year. The objector would no longer be able to park and would not be able to use a visitors permit as these are only for those calling at the permit holders address. When cars are hired they often also have visitors. The objector will remove the objection if a householder which doesn't own a car may be issued a residents parking permit for a hire car or all hire cars are exempt or households with no cars are issued two visitors permits	Consideration can be given to the provision of temporary permits in these circumstances.	Objection part upheld
743			Most of the scheme is excessive and will just push problems further out. There needs to be more spaces	See Comments D and Q	Objection overruled

744	270	Not given	The objector lives just beyond the proposed restricted area, has 2 small children so struggles to walk any distance for health reasons but does not qualify for a blue badge. The proposals would make it difficult to get to the town centre leading to greater isolation.	Opportunities to park in Ilkley town centre will remain, but at a cost if parking is required for more than 30 minutes.	Objection overruled
745	271	Resident	No consideration appears to have been given to the impact of permits for businesses on employees on low wages, or even if there will be adequate parking provision.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
746			The objector understands the permits will be virtual and therefore only 2 Council Officers will be able to identify offending vehicles. How will residents be able to identify offenders and during what hours will Officers work? Would it not be more effective to issue paper permits?	Residents will not be able to identify vehicles without permits but Enforcement Officers should be patrolling will be able to identify vehicles parked in contravention.	Objection overruled

747		There is a serious issue of negligent and dangerous parking related to the Grammar School, exacerbated by the the council's planning dept to impose a condition that all vehicular traffic has to exit the Craiglands Hotel on to Crossbeck Road. This is an issue I have raised particularly since September 2017, and is an example of the failure of council officials to act or even respond.	It is proposed to prohibit parking along identified lengths of Crossbeck Road and Ben Rhydding Road, some junctions will also be covered. The area will be monitored following implementation.	Objection overruled
748	272 Resident	30 minutes free is too short, businesses are going to suffer, most rely on short stay visitors who will disappear if they can find a space and have to pay	See Comment E	Objection overruled
749		Parking on the Grove is difficult , increasing turnover would lead to increased safety concerns. It should remain longer stay	The maximum stay for parking on the Grove remains at two hours, the 30 minute free period will encourage turnover but this should not lead to increased safety concerns.	Objection overruled
750		The timing of residents parking are wrong, they need to be evenings and weekends when residents are actually at home. The streets should be also free for 1 hour during the day.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
751		The price for parking is the equivalent to big city charges, not small town, it feels like an exercise in getting as much money as possible.	See Comment L	Objection overruled

752			The proposals will push commuter parking problems to Ben Rhydding making parking worse.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
753			One of the objectives of the proposals was to assist residents in roads close to the town centre by allocating residents parking permits. It was therefore concerning to learn that the proposals do not provided sufficient spaces for the number of houses and so residents who cannot find spaces in the residents' bays will be worse off as they will be forced to park in areas which are subject to the proposed parking restrictions and charges.	Residents parking permits will be zonal, it is anticipated all residents with permits will be able to find a parking place. The scheme does not however guarantee individuals parking directly outside their homes.	Objection overruled
754	273	Not given	Business community questions remain unanswered including net effect in terms of spaces in the town and details of parking permits. It appears the Councils approach is to introduce and see how it goes.	See Comments A and U	Objection overruled
755			The lack of parking in the town centre has not been addressed, there are talks of additional long stay parking in the future , possibly near Ben Rhydding Station but this needs to be resolved before implementing restrictions in the town centre.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

756		Current concerns about road safety around Ben Rhydding Station that need resolving.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
757		WYLTP Bradford Implementation Plan and WYCA transport strategy talk about increasing the number of sustainable transport options and developing appropriate services in consultation with local communities for those living in more rural communities but proposals contradict these because they will in essence close Ilkley off to anyone who does not live within walking distance.	See Comment T	Objection overruled
758		The decision making process has not been democratic. The majority of people are against the plans and yet they are going ahead	See Comment S	Objection overruled
759		The changes will limit access to public amenities such as Riverside Gardens to those who can afford to pay in the pay and display car park.	See Comments E and U	Objection overruled

760	274	Not given	<p>No other recommendations in the report, other than to introduce parking restrictions and charges, are being adopted or implemented.</p> <p>There is clear recognition the parking provisions in Ilkley are insufficient and yet no plans are being put in place to increase parking or provide a solution. If more parking was found first, it would stop the problem from simply being shifted to Ben Rhydding.</p>	See Comments T, P and F	Objection overruled
761			<p>The proposed changes provide financial benefit to those affluent residents of Ilkley (by way of significantly increasing the values of their houses) whilst penalising those less affluent residents in the area who cannot afford to live in Ilkley.</p>	See Comment X	Objection overruled
762			<p>It will reduce the available workforce for businesses in Ilkley - if commuters who work in Ilkley can't park then they will just seek employment elsewhere</p>	See Comments A and H	Objection overruled
763	275	Resident	<p>How many permits are granted per household and if the numbers of permits do not accommodate the numbers of cars, where are they supposed to park?</p>	<p>One permit will be issued to each vehicle registered at the address, one visitor permit will be issued to each property.</p>	Objection overruled



764			The objector owns a stretch on Back Albany Walk yet the road is proposed to be residents only. How does the Council justify this?	See Comment N, Back Albany Walk has been confirmed as unadopted public highway.	Objection overruled
765	276	Resident	There should be a delay and further parking provision investigated	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
766			The proposals will just move the problem	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
767	277	Resident	Requests the taxi rank on railway Road be removed because it causes access and road safety concerns.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
768	278	Taxi Operator	The proposed taxi rank will not be required if there is a sign from the railway station to Brook Street, or a freephone to book taxis.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
769			The additional rank will require taxis to do a u-turn for passengers travelling in the opposite direction.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
770			Residents do not have a superior right to park on the highway. Any resident who purchases a home without private parking has no entitlement to on street parking any more than any other highway user. Permits will lead to an increase in house value.	See Comment X	Objection overruled

771	279 Resident / Commuter	Those living outside of Ilkley have as much right to access the train network as those living in Ilkley and the proposals disadvantage them. The effect of your proposals does not further the equal right of all in the area to use public transport but forces those outside of Ilkley away from it.	See Comment C	Objection overruled
772		There is no alternative public transport available for many living in rural areas. There is no option other than to drive. The proposals therefore will be to tax people a considerable amount of money to go to work	See Comment AQ	Objection overruled
773		The proposals punish those living in rural areas. The only alternative railway station nearby is Silsden (also in the Bradford area) which is far more heavily congested than Ilkley	See Comment AQ	Objection overruled
774		The proposals punish commuters. Contrary to the tone of consultation documentation that I have seen, commuters do not simply leech on the town of Ilkley. Commuters spend money on refreshments in the morning and make regular use of other businesses in Ilkley.	See Comments C and E	Objection overruled
775		Contrary to the tone of consultation documentation commuters bring many positive benefits to the town of Ilkley	See Comments C and E	Objection overruled

776		The proposals punish those living in less affluent areas	No Officer comments	Objection overruled
777		The proposals are likely to take money out of local business	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
778		The proposals are in essence a stealth tax discriminating between those who live in Ilkley and those from outside.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
779		1) The wording of written responses received from BMDC officials during the consultation period made it clear that the public consultation was a mere box ticking exercise and would not materially influence the proposals under "consultation". A legal challenge of the proposals that have not undergone a bona fide consultation is therefore appropriate.	See other representations regarding consideration of objections	Cllr Ross-Shaw confirms he is considering the proposed Order and the objections thereto, he confirms he has approached them fairly and on their merits with an open mind before making his determination. Objection overruled
780		The survey and recommendations provided by the consultant, upon which the BMDC proposal is based, were flawed. The BMDC proposal is therefore also flawed.	The Survey was comprehensive, the recommendations were based on the results of this survey.	Objection overruled

781	280	<p>3) The current BMDC proposals (at today's date) are still incomplete in such areas as listed below a-d. This makes proper considered consultation and response impossible. A. The availability and cost of suitable parking for commuters using the station to catch trains and buses.</p> <p>b. The availability and cost of suitable parking for commuters working in Ilkley.</p> <p>c. The availability and cost of suitable parking for business use.</p> <p>d. The availability and cost of residents permits including future guarantees.</p>	See Comments P, C, A and AG	Objection overruled
782		The proposal, as detailed, will damage the future viability of Ilkley	See Comment H	Objection overruled
783		The alleged motivation for the BMDC proposal is to solve an alleged parking problem in some residential streets. The proposal however includes restrictions on many non residential streets. Why?	See Comment D	Objection overruled
784		The consultant did not attempt to estimate the quantity of parking that IS required in Ilkley, to solve the alleged problems and the categories thereof.	It is difficult to quantify demand, as supply often generates demand.	Objection overruled
785		Neither the consultant nor BMDC appear to have made any effort to identify and progress solutions for the provision of more spaces.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

786		The BMDC proposal does not identify the business case for the proposed changes i.e. cost of proposal, implementation, maintenance , management income etc.	A Business case was developed and considered prior to scheme progression.	Objection overruled
787		The BMDC proposal does not detail how ALL of the surplus money raised by the parking charges will be used to improve the situation of parking provision in Ilkley.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
788		The impact on the town, residents, employers and employees has not be adequately addressed	See Comments A, C and E	Objection overruled
789		The impact of surrounding areas such as Ben Rhydding has not been adequately assessed	See Comment F	Objection overruled
790		Adequate provision has not been made for alternatives to driving into Ilkley, eg cyclists need safer routes into Ilkley, rail users need the gap at Ben Rhydding addressing and a shorter route to crossing the line without steps, especially relevant for those with prams and accessibility needs	See Comments C, F, AL and AQ	Objection overruled
791		The proposal does not solve the problem that more car parking spaces are needed because the cars exist so need to park somewhere.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

792	281	Resident	There are still too many unknowns in the proposals. For example how many special permits will each employer get? How many business permits will be given to each business.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
793			Valid alternatives have not been adequately considered. For example instead of having residents parking all day have a block of time during the day in which only residents can park there. This stops commuters being able to park all day.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
794			. The reality that commuters need to park somewhere has not been addressed. If people can't park to get the train will they drive to work and have a negative impact on the environment	See Comment C	Objection overruled
795			The proposals favour some residents over others. Those who get resident permits get free parking whereas those who don't have to pay to park in the centre of their town.	While residents permit zones include streets adjoining the town centre, the zones have been designed to discourage vehicle use by residents for journeys within each zone.	Objections overruled
796			There are 4 cars in the household, will the objector get one permit for each plus a visitor permit?	One permit will be issued for each vehicle registered to the property, plus one visitor permit for the property	Noted

797	282	Resident	The objector owns the lane to the rear of the houses and want to retain parking tights without need for a permit.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
798	283	Resident	The plans still put lots of residents parking on Bridge lane and Castle Road, including on both sides of the road in some places where there are no residents on that side and it is the park. Castle Road residents have a private car park for the new houses. There doesn't seem to be enough space left for people who want to go there and use the Riverside Park	Paid for parking will remain on Bridge Lane, with free limited waiting on Stockeld Road and the adjoining length of Bridge Lane.	Objection overruled
799			The Steer Davies report states "Rail commuters who currently park on\street in Ilkley are likely to have the option of parking at a dedicated station car park at Ben Rhydding station in the future, offering a more suitable alternative to parking on\street in residential areas." but the objector is unable to find any information on progress on this.	Any proposals to consider introduction of a dedicated car park are to be considered separate to this scheme.	Objection overruled

800	284	Resident	The objector is unable to find the cost of all day parking from the documents available in Ilkley Library.	The proposed cost of all day parking where allowed on street is £5. The complete Tariffs and Charges Order was placed on deposit at Ilkley Library.	Objection overruled
801			Commuters will simply start parking outside the restricted area	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
802			The objector is concerned about the impact on local shops, parking charges will deter some visitors and shoppers from coming to Ilkley when Harrogate provides free disc parking.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
803	285	Not given	The plans are excessive and damaging to communities and businesses, the measures proposed are draconian.	See Comments D and H	Objection overruled
804			The proposals have significant opposition and are aimed at increasing revenue to the detriment of the town	See Comment L	Objection overruled
805			There has been no consideration for commuters, businesses and visitors who all need to be able to park within Ilkley. It is solely focused on residents.	See Comments A, C and E	Objection overruled
806			This proposal doesn't fix the problem, it just moves it to be someone else's.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
807			Ilkley needs more parking, this just reduces the current parking. The high street will die if we restrict visitors any more than our current parking situation does	See Comments P and H	Objection overruled



808	286	Resident	30 minute free is not long enough, especially as Ilkley generally has an older population.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
809			These proposals include restrictions on a Sunday which I don't think are necessary, I also don't think it should be until 6pm on a Saturday.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
810			There is no need for 2 taxi ranks, one outside the train station would be good but there isn't the demand to meet the need for 2 ranks. The current rank is in a dangerous location for pedestrians too.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
811	287	Resident	There has been no consideration for commuters, businesses and visitors who all need to be able to park within Ilkley. It is solely focused on residents.	See Comments A, C and E	Objection overruled
812			This proposal doesn't fix the problem, it just moves it to be someone else's.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
813			Ilkley needs more parking, this just reduces the current parking. The high street will die if we restrict visitors any more than our current parking situation does	See Comments P and H	Objection overruled
814			30 minute free is not long enough, especially as Ilkley generally has an older population.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled

815			These proposals include restrictions on a Sunday which I don't think are necessary, I also don't think it should be until 6pm on a Saturday.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
816			There is no need for 2 taxi ranks, one outside the train station would be good but there isn't the demand to meet the need for 2 ranks. The current rank is in a dangerous location for pedestrians too.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
817	288	Works and shops in Ilkley	Where will the objector be able to park when she arrives for work at 8.00am?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
818			The scheme only seems to reduce the amount of parking for workers and visitors not increase.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
819	289	Works in Ilkley	Understands there are potential options for workers permits, however, it has not been made clear what this will look like, how much it will cost and it has already been stated that this will not satisfy all the requirements for every business, meaning people will either have to pay or park further away and walk in.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
820			Poor street lighting will make walks to cars feel unsafe on darker evenings.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
821			Cannot afford to pay over £500 a year to park.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

822		The scheme is not supportive of businesses or workers, what is needed is more parking spaces.	See Comments A and P	Objection overruled
823		There is a lack of information and the survey is fundamentally flawed. The Council do not know how many parking places there currently are in Ilkley and how many there will be after the new plans are put in place.	The survey identified the approximate capacity of all streets within a zone approximately 400m from the railway station (1,320 in total). While parking will be restricted at junctions and pinch points, it is not proposed to fundamentally change the number of spaces generally available	Objection overruled
824		The Council needs to complete a new survey for each road in Ilkley	A survey of each road within a zone approximately 400m from Ilkley Station was undertaken by the external consultants in 2017. Further interviews and online surveys resulting in 1400 responses were undertaken	Objection overruled
825		Survey undertaken on 2 days in winter so not representative	Surveys were undertaken in May and June	Objection overruled

826	290 Resident	There is no system in place to run the proposals	The Council presently runs in excess of 50 residential parking schemes. Consideration of special permit issue to businesses is ongoing and will be complete before the scheme is introduced.	Objection overruled
827		Look at other towns, Ambleside has parking cards	See Comment G	Objection overruled
828		The Council is reacting to a small number of residents complaints	See Comment AM	Objection overruled
829		Visitors to residents have not been addressed	A visitor permit will be available to all properties.	Objection overruled
830		Although you state permits will be free for the first year, you cannot expect people to accept a cost without knowing how much it will be	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
831		Signage and meters will be an eyesore	Officers are working with the Conservation team to minimise adverse effect on the visual environment.	Objection overruled
832		How can the Council justify the expense on a "hunch"	The proposals address identified parking problems in Ilkley	Objection overruled
833		The current car park generates £250,000 a year but is not maintained. The funds generated show Ilkley needs more parking.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

834			30 minutes free will not encourage shopping. 4 hours max stay in long stay parking bays will reduce spends across the town.	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
835	291	Not given	The existing problems only affect a handful of streets, the plans are significantly more excessive	See Comment D	Objection overruled
836			The objector disagrees with charges They are completely unnecessary and pose a serious threat to the towns economic success.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
837			Without creating additional spaces, the approach will have a detrimental affect on the businesses in town	See Comments P and H	Objection overruled
838			Displaced vehicles will potentially raise safety issues as people attempt to find alternatives.	The proposals have been formulated to anticipate driver behaviour and parking displacement however it is acknowledged displacement may occur in streets and areas not covered. Roads not covered by the scheme will be monitored following implementation of proposals.	Objection overruled
839			The Council should pause the plans and reconsider the potential impact	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

840	292 Resident	Objects to the implementation of the Ilkley parking review and charges as the recommendations have been approved with a plan to 'tweak' them once implemented, and none of the comments and alternatives suggested by those who responded to the consultations appear to have been incorporated. This approach could lead to parking chaos. There are many roads where the local knowledge of the residents and businesses needs to be taken into account before anything is done, and not afterwards.	The proposals have been formulated to anticipate driver behaviour and parking displacement however it is acknowledged displacement may occur in streets and areas not covered. Roads not covered by the scheme will be monitored	Objection overruled
841		The initial review was flawed and did not take into account the parking requirements of Ilkley businesses and staff or tourists	The initial review took into account all competing demands for parking in Ilkley	Objection overruled
842		Nothing has been done to address the need for more parking	See Comment P	Objection overruled
843		The legal process has not allowed sufficient time for all those who will be impacted by the proposals	The Order has been formally advertised in the local press and on street for three weeks. This meets statutory requirements	Objection overruled
844		The review does not indicate if car parking spaces will be reduced	Waiting will only be prohibited along	Objection overruled

845		On residential roads, there should be limited daytime parking allowed e.g. 2 hours to retain current parking spaces and allow shopping.	See Comment AA	Objection overruled
846		Sunday charges should be in line with other places	Sunday charges will be in line with proposed charges in South Hawksworth Street car park	Objection overruled
847		30 minutes free is an insufficient period for shopping. 1 hour free should be the minimum; if not two hours, as is the case now	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
848		The current proposals will have a detrimental affect for both the town and residents. Introducing restrictions with no alternative parking measures does not solve a problem	See Comments H and P	Objection overruled
849		A number of people use the train to get to work and there is simply not sufficient provision for cars. Environmentally, public transport provision is to be encouraged, but without adequate parking, this is not possible.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
850		With many working parents needing to drop off children and then catch a train to work, the use of a car is essential.	See Comment C	Objection overruled

851	293	Not given	businesses in the town would suffer due to the nature of the parking tariffs. Small businesses already struggle with competition from out of town retail and online shopping. By forcing everyone to pay, people will be discouraged from popping to the shops.	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled
852			Parking should be free for at least 2 hours rather than 30 minutes	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
853			There is not sufficient parking for those who live outside Ilkley and work in the town.	See Comments P and A	Objection overruled
854			Tourists would have nowhere to park for the day and so would be unable to come to Ilkley	See Comment E	Objection overruled
855			Ilkley needs restrictions in place to prohibit unsafe parking. Restrictions in place so residents are able to park near their own homes Tariffs in place to help with the extra cost of monitoring the new parking restrictions Suitable parking made available for commuters Suitable parking made available for day trippers and Ilkley employees Short term free parking for shoppers	The restrictions proposed balance the needs of the majority of users. Commuter parking will however become more limited within the centre of Ilkley.	Objection overruled
856	294	Resident	Hospital Walk is a private road, the council has no powers over private roads so it has to be removed from the scheme.	See Comment N, Hospital Walk has been confirmed as adopted highway.	Objection overruled



857	295	Resident	The parking restrictions will reduce the number of spaces available for residents	The restrictions will maintain parking in residential streets except where existing parking causes obstruction or road safety concerns such as around junctions	Objection overruled
858			The proposals will have an impact on footfall and some spent in Ilkley so will affect local businesses and not be in the best interests of the town.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
859			There are not enough parking spaces, this needs to be thoroughly investigated before wholesale restrictions are proposed.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
860	296	Resident / Business owner	The effect of the proposals on the life blood of the town which relies on its residents, customers, visitors and businesses for its vitality has not been considered.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
861			There is talk of extra housing but no extra parking	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
862			The commuter parking issue cannot be solved by moving it elsewhere in the town	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
863			Consideration should be given to releasing Green belt land on the fringes to provide park and ride.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
864			Restricted parking should be 6.30am to 9.00am to discourage commuters but leave it free for visitors during the day.	See Comment W	Objection overruled

865			It's just in an effort to create revenue for the Council	See Comment L	Objection overruled
866			Shelve proposals until sufficient thought can be given to increasing parking within the town and outskirts.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
867	297	Not given	Objects to this initial proposal and request further consultation to be undertaken. The significant impact upon local people and business has not been taken into account at all.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
868	298	Resident	Lower Wellington Road was not included in the original consultation document but the eastern side is proposed to be no waiting at any time while the western side is proposed to be residents only. 4 to 5 cars can park on the eastern side will be displaced, these cars which are nearly always residents. This will lead to 4 or 5 vehicles circling the surrounding area looking for alternative parking.	Parking on the eastern side of Lower Wellington Road can only take place without obstructing traffic flow if cars are parked part on the pavement. Proposals were amended to include no waiting on the western side following comments received through the public consultation process.	Objection overruled
869	299	Resident	Differential between schedule 2 (operational times Mon to Sat) and Tariffs Order (Mon to Sun) meaning no permits will be valid on Sundays.	The operating days in schedule 2 will be modified to include Sundays	Upheld

870	300	Town Councillor	Does not feel the town meeting held 28 February accurately reflected the overall view of the town. The Councillor supports the proposals for residents only parking in the south ward, which he feels reflects the clear majority of responses received from ward residents.	Supports proposals	Noted
871			Residents did express sympathy for modification in timing to a smaller window during the day to enable residents parking zones to be used more flexibly by other users.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
872	301	Resident	The original plan was to increase parking in Ilkley	See Comment P	Objection overruled
873			The proposals are only going to make the situation worse for local people and will drive people away from the town.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
874			The scheme should be delayed until questions have been addressed	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
875	302	Works in Ilkley	Will cause mass destruction for many local businesses and the community including mothers and fathers juggling children and work life.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
876			Workers will have to park further away	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
877			Residents should take parking into account when purchasing homes	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
878			There will be a loss in business to the town centre	See Comment E	Objection overruled

879	303	Not given	Objects against the proposed parking plans and feel that permit parking would be more beneficial to the town between the hours of 6pm to 6am rather than the proposed times.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
880			The plans do not address the fact that Ilkley does not have enough parking capacity for residents, commuters and employees.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
881			Weekends and school holidays see many tourists visiting the town - which we welcome as it maintains our economy. Last year saw unprecedented numbers in the town during the very warm summer months. Cars were dangerously parked along all of Denton Road from Ben Rhydding to Nesfield Road and along Nesfield Road, up Curly Hill, all down Hangingstones Road and Cowpasture Road (to name a few). Often illegally parked but with no (or not enough) enforcement officers to control the situation and double parked leaving barely any room to drive or for the emergency services to pass through.	All proposals will be enforced to encourage 100% compliance with restrictions, including weekends and bank holidays.	Objection overruled
882			The proposals will only increase the problems or stop people visiting Ilkley	See Comment E	Objection overruled

883	304 Resident	How much involvement did WYCA have and were Network Rail, Northern Rail and WY Metro involved? There is no bus service between Ilkley and Bradford meaning all commuters must travel by train. The hopper bus service has been reduced. If there were regular services, this may reduce the number of commuters wanting to park	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
884		As a resident of a town centre street, the objector welcomes the idea of residents' permits but if the streets are to become marked with bays it's likely to reduce the number of spaces available. The objector would also hope that the proposal for free permits would remain and that CBMDC would not seek to impose charges on residents for these permits, in future years.	Wherever possible, the streets will not be marked with bays	Objection overruled
885		The current taxi rank is dangerous. The objector supports a rank outside the station but the drivers need to adhere to the rules of the road.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
886		Ilkley's thriving nightlife must be considered.	There are no proposals to extend on street charges or residents parking restrictions into the evening	Objection overruled

887			Some areas could offer 1 hour free parking before charges are imposed for more equity and to encourage a thriving town centre atmosphere	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
888			Staff struggle to find places to park, are special permits to be vehicle specific? Permits need to be flexible to be used for whoever is in the office that day	See Comment A	Objection overruled
889			Ilkley does not have enough parking and something drastic must be changed to address this.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
890	305	Not given	Further consultation should take place.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
891			There is no provision for new parking places, the proposals will increase demand elsewhere, priorities for new sites should be near Ben Rhydding Station, Near Ilkley Station , a significant new car park within 5 minutes walk of the town centre.	See Comments P and F	Objection overruled
892	306	Resident	Provision of residents permits is disproportionately generous, parking provision during the week will be stripped and roads will remain empty.	See Comment D	Objection overruled
893			The impact on business in Ilkley will be catastrophic as people will shop elsewhere.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
894			Residents parking should be 6.00pm to 9.00am Mon to Sat	See Comment W	Objection overruled
895			Stay for 1 hour free in residents parking areas without permit	See Comment AH	Objection overruled

896	307	Resident	Supports proposals	Supports proposals	Noted
897	308	Not given	Parking idea for Ilkley is badly thought out and will destroy the towns business	See Comment H	Objection overruled
898			Visitors will not park if there is a charge	See Comment E	Objection overruled
899			Income generated is not spent in Ilkley	See Comment L	Objection overruled
900			Create more parking, perhaps in Ben Rhydding free with free bus or boris bikes	See Comments P and F	Objection overruled
901			Parking fines can't be enforced as it would not be the driver who would get the fine	Under the Traffic Management Act 2004, vehicle keepers are responsible for the payment of all Penalty Charge Notices even if they weren't the driver at the time.	Objection overruled
902			Where are coaches going to park?	See Comment AR	Objection overruled
903			Taxi rank outside station good idea but drivers will do a u turn at the top of Brook Street	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
904			Permit parking, where are priorities, if businesses get permits they will use all the parking places	See Comment A	Objection overruled
905			A compulsory parking scheme has to be approved by Government	Traffic Regulation Orders do not need Government approval.	Objection overruled
906					There is a lack of spaces in Ilkley and the proposals will make matters worse.
907	Businesses will see lack of footfall	See Comment E			Objection overruled
908	Employees will not be able to afford to pay to park	See Comment A			Objection overruled
909	Issues will be moved around town	See Comment Q			Objection overruled

910	309	Resident	Tourists will stop visiting	See Comment E	Objection overruled
911			Objector lives on Kings Road just outside scheme, parking will be pushed up Kings Road to outside objectors house.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
912			The investment and ongoing costs could be put to far better use.	See Comment AC	Objection overruled
913			There is a lack of spaces in Ilkley and the proposals will make matters worse.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
914			Businesses will see lack of footfall	See Comment E	Objection overruled
915			Employees will not be able to afford to pay to park	See Comment A	Objection overruled
916			Issues will be moved around town	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
917	310	Resident	Tourists will stop visiting	See Comment E	Objection overruled
918			Objector lives on Kings Road just outside scheme, parking will be pushed up Kings Road to outside objectors house.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
919			The investment and ongoing costs could be put to far better use.	See Comment AC	Objection overruled
920	311	Not given	Further consultation should take place	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
921			Proposals are for the sole purpose of raising money for the Council	See Comment L	Objection overruled
922			Proposals will simply force people further out of town	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
923	312	Works in Ilkley / Resident	More development is granted with no consideration for infrastructure	See Comment AK	Objection overruled



924			Proposals will make few spaces available near where the objector works. Cannot afford to pay the daily tariff to work	See Comment A	Objection overruled
925	313	Works in Ilkley	It is not clear how our visitors, clients and staff members will access the office, whether they will be able to continue parking in Ilkley and if so where they will be able to park	See Comment A	Objection overruled
926			Details special permits, priority, use and issue are not clear.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
927			Restricting parking for staff and visitors will be contrary to the best interests of clients and staff and will have an adverse effect on commercial viability and staff retention.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
928			Employees need to attend court hearings	See Comment A	Objection overruled
929			Clients travel by car for meetings lasting between one and three hours	See Comment E	Objection overruled
930			Issuing business special permits on a street specific basis would be counter intuitive.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

931		The company often works with very vulnerable clients who are faced with incredibly difficult challenges. Barriers to accessing our premises will make it harder for certain members of the community to access legal advice which can be life-changing given the nature of our highly sensitive work	See Comment A	Objection overruled
932		It is not clear how phase 2 will support businesses with the proposals again favouring residents	All sites will be monitored, future TROs will be formulated in consultation with businesses	Objection overruled
933		The extent of the proposals is excessive	See Comment D	Objection overruled
934		Parking restrictions need to accommodate work from as early as 7.30am to as late as 7.00pm	See Comment W	Objection overruled
935		Does not want to see the proposals abandoned but needs a flexible response to the views of objectors, incorporating the needs of the business community	The Council has a duty to consider all objections received.	Objection overruled
936		Strongly in favour of residents parking zone (Except Bridge Lane) but with reduced operating hours freeing up capacity for mixed short stay and business parking	See Comment W	Objection overruled
937		Shared parking should be extended on Bridge Lane	Shared parking and three hour limited waiting can be extended to cover the north side of Bridge Lane.	Objection upheld

938	314	Town Councillor	Supports the new taxi rank but wants the old rank on Station Road removing completely for safety reasons	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
939			Additional aspects , streetscape enhancement, alternative modes of transport to promote modal shift to be brought forward as a matter of urgency.	Some surplus income generated through the operation of pay and display parking can be considered for reinvestment in streetscape enhancement and infrastructure to promote modal shift	Objection overruled
940			Concrete proposals addressing concerns over possible reduction in overall capacity to be laid before the town urgently.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
941	315	Works in Ilkley	Parking restrictions will give workers nowhere to park, would have no option but to pay. Some workers not well paid, public transport not always an option	See Comment A	Objection overruled
942			The car park would be too small to meet demand if workers paid	See Comment A	Objection overruled
943			Traffic flow will be disrupted by the disabled bay along the Grove	There is no evidence to suggest disabled drivers will disrupt traffic flow any more or less than drivers who are not disabled.	Objection overruled
944	316	Resident	If workers cannot park, employers will struggle to recruit and retain staff.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
945			Commuters will either park in Ben Rhydding or abandon train and drive.	See Comments F and Q	Objection overruled

946	317	Resident	The problems will continue until more parking capacity on park and ride created.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
947			Postpone until a proper assessment has been undertaken.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
948	318	Business	Proposals should be postponed until further investigations completed	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
949			Businesses should have a number of spaces for staff	See Comment A	Objection overruled
950			Free period should be extended to 1 hour	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
951	319	Works in Ilkley	Workers, particularly working mums, public transport is not always a viable option and need somewhere to park	See Comment A	Objection overruled
952			Problem made worse by development without investment in infrastructure	See Comment AK	Objection overruled
953			Increasing parking costs will not help local shops, visitors will go to neighbouring towns with free parking	See Comment E	Objection overruled
954			proposals will just make the problem worse.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
955	320	Not given	The proposals post a major threat to the future viability of many local businesses.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
956			No additional parking capacity will be created	See Comment P	Objection overruled
957			Scheme should be deferred until serious proposals are put forward.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

958	321	Works in Ilkley	Having to pay to park to work in Ilkley will cost £1,000 per year, will not afford to buy lunch so will start bringing packed lunch. Will still cause financial hardship	See Comment A	Objection overruled
959	322	Not given	The review does not create additional parking spaces so the parking issues will not be resolved	See Comment P	Objection overruled
960			The money spent could be used to buy land for a car park	Income generated through the scheme will cover all set up and oncosts	Objection overruled
961	323	Resident and business owner	During hours proposed, residents are at work so commuter restrictions are unnecessary	See Comment W	Objection overruled
962			Residents parking should be in place 6.30pm to 8.30am	See Comment W	Objection overruled
963			Businesses have employees and visitors, the restrictions will shut down the businesses.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
964			There is no increase in the amount of parking. The plans will decrease available parking.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
965			The plans have not been researched fully. The proposals should be postponed.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
966			The proposed solution causes as many problems as it solves. The town needs parking for tourists, businesses and visitors to provide footfall, as well as residents.	See Comment E	Objection overruled

967	324	Resident	Objects to proposals for a park and ride in Ben Rhydding because it fails to recognise the difficulties and dangers of additional pedestrians crossing Wheatley Road. Also detriment to the green belt	This is not within the scope of this project.	Objection overruled
968			Proposals will significantly affect local business and tourists	See Comment E	Objection overruled
969	325	Resident	Wants guests and visitors to park at objectors home whenever they want	Parking will be available for guests and visitors at all times subject to occupancy and permit availability.	Objection overruled
970			Needs to look at ways to increase parking rather than reduce it.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
971	326	Resident	Grove Road parking will be shared with businesses. The resident will have nowhere to park until the businesses close after 5.00pm	Special business permits will be more street specific than residents permits to ensure they can be effectively managed to minimise impact on residents	Objection overruled
972	327	Town Councillor	The public meeting was attended by many people who were not directly affected by the changes, The overwhelming responses in the Parish ward are positive.	Supports proposals	Noted
973			Consultation plans were inconsistent and postings on lighting columns were removed before the closure of the consultancy period.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

974	328	Resident	How many parking places at the moment and how many proposed?	The proposals maintain as many spaces as possible. The only areas where parking will be removed are where parked vehicles cause obstruction or road safety problems	Noted
975			Its unclear how much the proposals will cost to implement, where is the money coming from?	See Comment AC	Objection overruled
976			The fees, times and detail are confusing and appear to vary from location to location.	Times of operation are Mondays to Sundays 8.00am to 6.00pm. There will initially be no fees for permits.	Objection overruled
977			The impact on commerce and visitors cannot be measured until it is too late	See Comment E	Objection overruled
978			Residents have severe doubts that there would be any benefit	See Comment AM	Objection overruled
979			Change to a simple 4 hour restriction	See Comment G	Objection overruled
980			How much will it cost to run per year?	The scheme will generate a surplus	Objection overruled
981			What guarantees are there that the residents permits will remain free?	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
982			The scheme should be halted for 9 months whilst further investigation takes place.	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
983			329		Overriding problem is lack of parking, instead of removing parking, the Council should create new spaces.
984	Money would be better spent on a park and ride	See Comment AC and P			Objection overruled

985	330	Resident	Objects to Crossbeck Road being made residents parking for odd numbers only.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
986			The speed of cars on Crossbeck Road will increase.	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
987	331	Resident	People working in Ilkley can not always pay for parking.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
988			Needs further info on permits for businesses	The analysis of business permit requirements is ongoing and will be complete before the scheme is introduced.	Objection overruled
989			People will be unable to easily park in Ilkley, many will go elsewhere.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
990			Ilkley needs a commuter car park.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
991	332	Resident	The real problem is chronic lack of spaces to accommodate commuters	See Comment P	Objection overruled
992			In favour of residents parking as long as they remain free	Supports proposals but see also Comment AG	Support noted, Objection overruled
993			Visitors will be put off going to the town centre if they have to pay to stay for more than 30 minutes	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
994			The main focus is on raising money for the Council	See Comment L	Objection overruled
995			Proposals do not deal with the main problems which are lack of parking. The main culprit is commuters.	See Comment P	Objection overruled



996	333	Resident and business owner	Restrict parking to a max of 6 hours or make residents parking 7.00am to 9.30am.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
997			Business parking plans should be publically advertised before a scheme is implemented.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
998			30 minutes free parking is not enough	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
999			Sundays don't have the commuter issue and don't need to be treated.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
1000			How will virtual permits work with visitors?	Residents will be required to register vehicles electronically.	Noted
1001	334	Landlord	8 Businesses based in the Mill, 3 of which are teaching businesses, employing 16 staff with up to 30 students at any time are seriously concerned at the threat to their livelihoods.	See Comment A re staff parking, Additionally some free limited waiting for up to three hours can be introduced to accommodate the needs of businesses and customers at The Mill.	Objection part upheld
1002			On street parking has already been seriously reduced due to the adjacent Housing development. Less double line restriction is possible and requested.	Waiting restrictions will be reviewed to provide some lengths of limited waiting.	Objection part upheld
1003			Special day permits are requested for staff.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1004			Limited time concessions are requested for students.	Some free limited waiting for up to three hours can be introduced to accommodate the needs of businesses and customers at The Mill.	Objection part upheld

1005	335	Not given	There is inadequate parking in Ilkley generally which is not dealt with	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1006			It is not even known how many spaces there are now and the allocation to residents and non-residents after the proposals are introduced	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1007			People are all being encouraged to use the train, but there is a severe lack of commuter parking - these people need to park somewhere.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1008			30 minutes is too shorter time for free parking, one hour would be more appropriate	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
1009			These proposals will damage shops and Ilkley businesses through lower footfall	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1010			These proposals will reduce the parking for visitors	See Comment E	Objection overruled

1011	336	Town Mayor	Due to inconsistencies on the process, the TRO process should be re-run	There is a discrepancy between operating hours of residents parking in schedule 2 (Mon to Sat) and proposed restrictions as per the plans (Mon to Sun) Schedule 2 will be modified to reflect the plans. Legal consider this modification to be reasonable. The full Tariff Order, including details of Tariff Code 9, was deposited at the library, it is not known when or whether the relevant page went missing. Only two comments were received raising the issue of tariff code 9 not being available. In any case, Code 9 is plainly stated on the legal notice available at the library, it was advertised in the press, can be viewed in the notices on street , in the Order at City Hall and is on the Council's website.	Objection overruled
------	-----	------------	---	--	---------------------

				The black and white tiles included within the advertised documents are required to be advertised as part of the legal process but the documents giving full details of additional restrictions were the 7 larger plans on deposit. The 7 larger plans were also made available on the Council's website, which did not in itself form part of the legal process.	
1012	337	Resident	Supports proposals	Supports proposals	Noted
1013	338	Resident	Repeats he requires a definitive legal ruling on his original E-mail in advance of any implementation.	See Previous comments regarding the Objector's objection.	Objection overruled
1014	339	Not given	Requests a pause in the process to allow the town council to investigate the problems to the notices	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1015			Commuter parking will be pushed to Ben Rhydding	See Comment F	Objection overruled
1016			Vary the duration of the residents parking to offer shoppers short period of parking	See Comment W	Objection overruled
1017			No provision for cyclists built into the scheme	Council officers are consulting with Cycle user groups to determine whether improvements in cycling infrastructure are feasible.	Objection overruled

1018	340	Resident	During the day, residents spaces can be used for visitors and Ilkley workers	See Comment W	Objection overruled
1019			The back lane from Albany Walk is included but is unadopted by the Council. Is introducing restrictions here legitimate? How can the Council charge residents permits if it isn't the Council's in the first place?	See Comment N	Objection overruled
1020			Where are the many visitors to Ilkley going to park? There will be nowhere near enough paid for spaces available.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1021	341	Business	No account has been taken for people that shop and work in the town centre	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
1022			If bay parking is introduced it is likely that there will not be enough parking spaces for residents let alone anyone else	The majority of residents parking areas will be zonal with no parking bays marked out.	Objection overruled
1023			Permits will not remain free forever	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
1024			Contractors and more than one visitor will need parking at any one time, parents visit overnight. Need to park without incurring significant costs or parking a significant distance away.	Council wide changes to permit policy include provision for scratch cards or virtual scratch cards for additional visitors along with permit provision for tradespeople.	Objection overruled
1025	342	Not given	Further consultation should take place	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1026			Objector will no longer be able to park outside own home. Footpath is wide enough to create a lay-by	Consideration can be given to relaxing waiting restrictions in this location if it doesn't impact on through traffic	Objection part upheld

1027	343	Resident and business owner	Residents only parking does not ease the problems which are lack of parking for people who live outside the area.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1028			Proposals will discourage people from visiting. If people struggle to find places to park they will go somewhere else. More free parking is needed	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1029			A loading bay is proposed directly outside the objectors shop, does that mean loading vehicles will constantly be blocking the shop window from view?	Proposals to introduce this loading bay were removed following previous consultation.	Objection overruled
1030	344	Works in Ilkley	Objector lives in Leeds bus links and unreliable rail necessitate the objector to drive to work. Residents living in the town centre should not expect to be able to park outside their homes at all times.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

1031	345	Not given	Bradford Council has shown little interest in finding any real solutions to the problems Ilkley faces and the proposal to just restrict parking and introduce charges will damage Ilkley for visitors, businesses and residents generally. The objector points out that he/she stands to benefit from residents parking should the proposals be introduced, but still feel that this is not the right solution for the town. Objects to both the parking restrictions and the charges.	See Comment H	Objection overruled
1032	346	Residents	The objectors live on terraced properties on Grove Road, The proposals identify the road along the frontages, all of which have dropped kerbs, will have shared parking. This will lead to friction between residents and pay and display users. It should be residents parking only	The kerbside along these frontages consist mainly of dropped kerbs requiring access. Removal of the pay and display element of shared parking will not affect the number of parking spaces available to the public. Grounds for objection therefore agreed.	Objection upheld
1033			The proposals do not take into account all the needs of the town as there is no provision for additional parking.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1034			The main issue is lack of parking, the plans will reduce parking further	See Comment P	Objection overruled

1035	347	Resident	As a former business owner in the town, the objector is also concerned that the proposals will significantly damage footfall within the town centre and negatively effect the commercial health of the town	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1036	348	Not given	Further consultation should take place	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1037	349	Works in Ilkley	There is no provision for people who work in Ilkley but are on a low income.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1038	350	Resident	The proposals will push the problems out to other roads	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1039			Wharfedale Road will become blocked with cars left by commuters	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1040			Extra cars in the area will be a safety hazard for children using the Grammar School	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1041			It is a difficult road to pull out of due to the slight bend on Bolling Road. When the proposals were considered for the new development which is currently being built off Bolling road there was concern then about extra traffic. If more people park now on Wheatley Road and Wharfedale Drive there will further concern.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1042			Provide park and ride facilities	See Comment P	Objection overruled



1043	351	Works in Ilkley	Where the objector works, the parking is already a problem due to lack of space, even though it is not particularly near any residences. Should restrictions and tariffs be introduced it would create more problems	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1044	352	Resident	More parking is what Ilkley needs	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1045			Ilkley wants to be busy and vibrant with vibrant businesses, the new restrictions will not foster this.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1046			Most people working in town are not well paid, employers will have real trouble recruiting	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1047			Where are tourists going to park?	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1048			30 minutes free is not long enough, particularly for mums with babies where it can take 10 minutes to erect a buggy and strap a baby in	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
1049			Where are commuters going to park?	See Comment C	Objection overruled
1050			Railway Terrace is not detailed on the lamppost information for residents parking	Railway Terrace is a number of properties along Railway Road, which is included on the notices.	Objection overruled
1051			Surveys were carried out on 2 days in winter so are not representative of summer when demand is highest	Surveys were undertaken in May and June	Objection overruled

1052			<p>The significant reduction in car parking in Ilkley will have a major impact on visitors, staff , volunteers and exhibitors. Visitors, volunteers and exhibitors park on the surrounding streets and in the main car park.</p>	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1053			<p>The business plan submitted to and agreed with Bradford Council included using the Manor House as a venue for small celebratory events such as weddings, family gatherings and wakes. These are all essential elements of ensuring the Manor House is a financially sustainable community resource for the town as well as an important. To allow these activities to happen the trust will need additional long stay car parking in Ilkley and at the moment the parking plans for the town are making their task of developing the Manor House as a community resource significantly more difficult.</p>		

1054			<p>The 3 cottages, 2 Castle Hill, 4 and 6 Castle Yard are all currently rented to a number of businesses and at present these occupiers all park in on street parking around the Manor House estate. They additionally will have visitors and workshop attendees who bring their cars to visit these businesses and they too use on street parking. Workshops are usually at least 4 hours long.</p>		
1055	353	Amenity	<p>Ilkley Arts run courses and events in one of the cottages adjacent to the Manor House. They have run over 250 workshops in the last 8 months for children and adults. More are planned for 2019. Additional parking restrictions in the town will have a significant and seriously negative effect on the work they do as well as the wider Manor House estate.</p>	<p>Council Officers will meet with representatives to discuss whether parking needs can be addressed</p>	<p>Objection part upheld</p>

1056		John Grogan, the local MP has one of his constituency offices in Cottage No 2 and will receive visits from local residents. At the moment they too would be parking in on street parking and the main car park. Some of these residents will have mobility issues and therefore being able to park near to the constituency office would be important for them.		
1057		The courtyard space outside the Manor House is a beautiful asset for the town and should be safeguarded. A future aspiration could be to make the space pedestrianized so that it is safe space for children, families and visitors to enjoy.		
1058		The trust also have an aspiration to make the Manor House as accessible as possible and this will include the need to increase the availability of disabled parking near the site.		
1059		The charges are likely to have a negative impact on local shops and businesses due to the cost of staying to shop as well as putting off tourists.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1060		The 30 minute free parking is not long enough	See Comment AH	Objection overruled

1061	354 Resident	Sunday charges are at full rate contrary to other Sunday charges in the district that are a flat rate.	Sunday charges are already at the full rate in the South Hawksworth Street car park.	Objection overruled
1062		Once introduced, charges can be increased with limited procedure.	Charges can be increase through notice of variation without further objections being invited, but must be approved by elected members.	Objection overruled
1063		Long stay is capped to 4 hours, where will this leave all day parkers?	Long stay parking is not proposed to be capped at 4 hours	Objection overruled
1064		The residents parking scheme fundamentally misses the wider issue of lack of parking. This will become worse as the town continues to grow	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1065		Commuters and other groups will be displaced to Ben Rhydding or to other areas in Ilkley. A proper plan to introduce a park and ride, another tier on Tescos or other additional parking spaces, should be progressed.	See Comment F	Objection overruled
1066		The charges will damage businesses not just from charges for customer parking but through the need to apply for limited business permits.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
1067		The proposals make no wider plans for local transport links that would help reduce the need for locals to park in Ilkley	See Comment AP	Objection overruled

1068			Ilkley has several existing car parks that adequately provide parking provision for residents and visitors to Ilkley	Ilkley public car parks are full on a regular basis, there is no spare capacity to meet additional on street parking demand.	Objection overruled
1069	355	Resident	Ilkley has a high proportion of elderly residents who need to use their cars to get to the town centre shops . If tariffs and restrictions are imposed this will impede them from doing so and penalise them financially . This would be discrimination against the elderly .	There will be opportunities to park in Ilkley either for free, or for a fee set to be reasonable.	Objection overruled
1070			If imposed, it will push parking into the Ben Rhydding area. Parking is already a problem in Ben Rhydding	See Comment F	Objection overruled
1071			Ilkley should receive any revenue as it is a stand alone town.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
1072			Main problem is lack of spaces in Ilkley which has not been addressed.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1073	356	Resident	The proposals will push problems further out of the town centre, to Middleton Ave for example.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1074			Objector acknowledges the need for parking restrictions all along the left hand side of Middleton Ave	Supports proposals	Noted
1075	357	Not given	Further consultation should take place	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1076			The demand for parking exceeds supply but nowhere in the proposals is there any indication that supply will be increased.	See Comment P	Objection overruled

1077	358	Resident	Parking outside property on Parish Ghyll Drive does not cause any problems and should be maintained.	No waiting at any time restrictions are proposed outside the property, some areas can be relaxed with minimum adverse affect	Objection part upheld
1078			Parking both sides of Oakburn Road would block off access to garages	Oakburn Drive will become part of a residents parking zone, it is not however proposed to mark bays. Existing parking behaviour is expected to continue.	Objection overruled
1079			Maps are inaccurate, points of access not considered	The plans are based on ordinance survey plans. Points of access are not individually marked. If off street access is required within marked out bays, keep clear bar markings will be introduced.	Objection overruled
1080			There are no proposals that would increase walking, cycling or public transport	Officers are working with public transport operators and cycle groups to identify opportunities to improve infrastructure.	Objection overruled
1081			Allow limited waiting in residential areas	See Comment G	
1082	359	Resident	Objects to not being able to park outside his own residence during restricted hours	Officers assume the objector lives on Crossbeck Road, see Comment. The objector has been e-mailed but has not responded.	Objection overruled
1083	360	Not given	Further consultation should take place	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

1084	361	Not given	There is too much residents parking and no free parking for 1 hour within 10 minutes walk from the centre of Ilkley	See Comments D and U	Objection overruled
1085			There is not enough parking in Ilkley, the plans need to be suspended while new ideas are considered to increase parking.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1086	362	Resident	There is limited provision for commuter parking and its all subject to a charge, this will force commuters to Ben Rhydding	See Comments C and F	Objection overruled
1087			The consultation is invalid as the objector was told there would be 30 minutes free parking. This is not the case	There is still proposed to be 30 minutes free parking in pay and display bays on street	Objection overruled
1088			Paid for parking will reduce custom to local shops and disproportionately affect smaller retailers, particularly cafes.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1089			A number of the streets are already time restricted, there is no need to add a charge for parking there	See Comment L	Objection overruled



1090			Both East Holmes and West Holmes fields are to be surrounded by 'No Waiting at any time' streets. Where are those using these for sports activities meant to park? In particular, there are children's football clubs. The entire park is surrounded by paid for parking.	Denton Road eastern section is already subject to a summertime parking restriction, this will however be extended to all year round. Parking charges in the Lido car park is not being considered as part of this project. Unrestricted parking will continue to be available on one side of Denton Road western section and Middleton Avenue, and on both sides of New Brook Street after the bridge.	Objection overruled
1091	363	Resident	There is no issue with parking on Ashburn Place and the scheme will introduce unnecessary inconvenience to guests	See Comments D and U	Objection overruled
1092			Guest permits should be a book of permits to allow flexibility.	This will be available.	Noted
1093			Restrictions should be 9 until 3.30 as this is all that is required to deter shoppers and commuters.	See Comment W	Objection overruled
1094	364	Resident	The proposals will not resolve the issues, only drive them further out into surrounding residential streets	See Comments P and Q	Objection overruled
1095			They will cause problems for those who work and run businesses in the town centre	See Comment A	Objection overruled

1096	304	RESIDENT	Free time of 30 minutes does not give enough time	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
1097			Alternatives such as disc parking should be considered	See Comment G	Objection overruled
1098			Earlier consultation is ignored	See Comment S	Objection overruled
1099			Is a money making exercise.	See Comment L	Objection overruled
1100			A decision should be deferred for at least 9 months	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1101			Bradford Met should be asked to make proposals for the provision of a large number of extra free or nominal-cost parking spaces for commuters, workers & visitors before any parking restrictions can be considered	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1102			A survey of residents should be conducted to gather intelligence on parking requirements	See Comment Z	Objection overruled
1103			Paid parking should not be introduced on Ilkley streets/roads at all; instead there should be the use of parking discs, to be made available in any shop	See Comment G	Objection overruled
1104			Maufe Way is not used by commuters, there shouldn't be a residents parking scheme, a simple sign stating residents only parking will suffice.	The restrictions will be signed as a residents permit zone, there will be no bays introduced along Maufe Way.	Objection overruled
1105			The restriction should be 6.30 pm to 8.30 am	See Comment W	Objection overruled

1106	365 Resident	A taxi rank is needed outside the railway station. However, I suggest that the taxi pick up & drop off point should be in the area currently used by buses; when not in use, the taxis should park along Railway Rd (not Brook St), with a free telephone system at the taxi pick-up point to call for a taxi. In order to improve safety on Railway Rd, a zebra crossing or traffic lights need to be installed at the intersection with Brook St.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1107		Car parks should be free for 2 hours	See Comment AH	Objection overruled
1108		A car park which is free or nominal cost should be provided at both ends of Ilkley	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1109		There needs to be a greater provision of shuttle buses. Shuttle buses could also be effective for bringing commuters into Ilkley from out of town car parks if, as I hope, these are developed.	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
1110			Supports the scheme fully having had to live with disturbance, stress, noise and air pollution caused by unrestricted parking for many years	Supports proposals

1111	366	Resident	There is no justification why workers should be able to park outside homes. Free unrestricted parking is a luxury, not a right.	Supports proposals	Noted
1112			The process and consultation has already been lengthy (4 years)	Supports proposals	Noted
1113	367	Resident	Easby Drive will be the first road outside the zone so needs to be included in the scheme.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
1114	368	Resident	Objects to pay and display on Kings Road and Chapel Lane. Visitors to Kings Road currently use the area opposite the baptiste church	See 242 and 373	Objection overruled
1115	369	Visits Ilkley	Prevention of free parking will significantly affect daily activities	See Comments E and U	Objection overruled
1116			Objector will shop in Leeds or Guiseley. There will be a significant effect on Ilkley business .	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1117			The charges will provide a barrier to accessing children's clubs and activities deterring people from participating in sports and physical activities.	The charges are set to be reasonable for all users.	Objection overruled
1118			The area covered goes further than restricting parking just outside peoples houses suggesting the primary purpose is income generation.	See Comment D	Objection overruled

1119	370	Not given	Objects to restrictions on Denton Road, Many parents use the road to park and walk their children to All Saints School. Many people also park there to nip into Ilkley or enjoy the recreational facilities	Unrestricted parking on the west side of Denton Road will still be available along one side.	Objection overruled
1120	371	Residents	Objects because the proposals are too vague, how much will permits cost? Shouldn't have to pay.	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
1121	372	Not given	Will permits remain free?	See Comment AG	Objection overruled
1122	373	Amenity	On behalf of 50 plus members, supports the comments of Objector 242.	The Baptiste Church is on Kings Road. It is proposed to introduce NWAAT restrictions on one side, and shared long stay parking on the other. Plans have already been amended to introduce a disabled bay on Kings Road opposite the church. Parking is available close to the church but there may be a cost	Noted  Objection overruled
1123			Baptiste church car park not big enough for all members so rely on on street parking. Rehearsals last 2 hours 15 minutes starting 09.15 with an extra hour for tidying up. Members need to carry large instruments. If the proposals go ahead, would it be possible for the band to obtain permits?		
1124	374	Resident	Parking on East Parade is already difficult East Parade, dean Street, North Parade, Gordon Street and West Parade should be considered because they will suffer from displaced parking.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
1125			A letter drop is needed to reach all residents	See Comment Z	Objection overruled

1126	375	Business proprietor	Local residents were aware of parking issues when they purchased their properties	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
1127			Making it awkward for people to come and park in Ilkley will reduce trade	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1128			Commuter parking problems not solved, just moved to a different street	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1129			Permit parking will take away spaces from visitors and commuters but no additional parking will be provided.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1130			Restrictions should be between 6.00am and 9.00am	See Comment W	Objection overruled
1131			There needs to be a park and ride facility out of town	See Comment AL	Objection overruled
1132			Allow permit parking one side of streets only	This would require the marking of bays and would not provide available parking for Special business users. See also Comment G	Objection overruled
1133	376	Resident	For residents parking, can't park outside his own house most days	Supports proposals	Noted
1134	377	Shopkeeper	Bradford council lack of support for local businesses. In these difficult trading times on the high street due to competition of online sales, these new parking regulations are just another nail in small independent shops coffins.	See Comments E and H	Objection overruled

1135	378	Works in Ilkley	The scheme reduces the amount of parking for workers and visitors will not increase.	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1136			Objector feels unsafe walking long distance to work in the dark due to poor street lighting	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1137			The cost of parking for work would be too much	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1138			Worker special permit details are unclear	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1139	379	Resident	Objects to the new taxi rank outside the station, there is already a taxi rank just around the corner.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1140			It will be dangerous as cars will turn around in narrow streets	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1141			Existing spaces need to be kept there, especially the disabled one.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1142	380	Resident	Objects to the new taxi rank outside the station, there is already a taxi rank just around the corner.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1143			It will be dangerous as cars will turn around in narrow streets	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1144			Existing spaces need to be kept there, especially the disabled one.	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1145	381	Business owner	Staff won't be able to afford to park and will look for work elsewhere	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1146	382	Business	Little consideration has been given to businesses that have staff, permits should be available to all staff.	See Comment A	Objection overruled

1147	383	Business Director	There must be improved parking to encourage visitors to Ilkley	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1148			It is essential people are encouraged to take the train , better parking provision must be made elsewhere (Ben Rhydding Station)	See Comment AL	Objection overruled
1149			The Council has an obligation to encourage use of public transport	See Comment AP	Objection overruled
1150			The Ilkley BID initiative is useless if visitors cannot park in town.	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1151			The problem is lack of parking for residents, commuters and visitors; more capacity must be built.	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1152	384		Easby Drive will be the first road outside the zone so needs to be included in the scheme.	See Comment B	Objection overruled
1153			The Town Council resolved on 4 February to do just that and to consider alternatives. A few of us are in the process of working on this. A list of a few proposed sites was submitted to Bradford by the Town Council in November. The following owned by Bradford Met will be considered further by us and I will be writing formally about these in the near future:		



1154	385	Town Mayor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Land at the west of the car park at the Lido - WYK 833188</li> <li>• Land at the corner of West Holme Fields - WYK910410</li> <li>• Castle Road, east -simple highway adjacent to WYK833932 - ownership not registered - presumably BMDC</li> <li>• Castle Road west of New Brook Street (PART WYK910410)</li> <li>• Spencer Gardens - WYK833104 -</li> <li>• Re-designing the central car park including the grassed area next to the toilets - WYK 852974</li> <li>• Rough Land at junction of Bolton Bridge Road and Skipton Road - WYK852709 - described as recreation ground</li> <li>• Land at the rear / West of Wyvil Road - WYK712168 -</li> <li>• North end of Ben Rhydding Football field on Wheatley Lane - WYK916688 and the lane to the garages there behind Collyer View</li> <li>• Car park at Ashlands being extended - not registered but presumably owned by Bradford MDC</li> <li>• Improving White Wells car park - WYK828562</li> <li>• Hebers Ghyll Drive car park - improving and possibly using some of the woodland</li> </ul>	<p>Each area of land will be investigated and discussed with Ilkley Town Council. The central car park is subject to a review which is ongoing to determine whether additional spaces can be created. The car park will also be surfaced as part of this proposal.</p>	Noted
------	-----	------------	---	--	-------

1155	386	Business owner	<p>Strongly objects to the parking review proposals they will clearly make Ilkley a less attractive option for visitors , parking options are already limited the proposals will make this worse.</p> <p>Town centres need all footfall possible this will not help</p>	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1156	387	Business Owner	<p>Strongly objects to the parking review proposals they will clearly make Ilkley a less attractive option for visitors , parking options are already limited the proposals will make this worse.</p> <p>Town centres need all footfall possible this will not help</p>	See Comment E	Objection overruled

1157	388	Director	<p>On behalf of the local charity, Ilkley Community Enterprise, raises concerns on the proposed parking arrangements for Ilkley. The charity operates:</p> <p>1. A community cafe at 2-4 Bridge Lane supporting people who have learning and other disabilities: this entails parents/carers dropping and collecting our clients and we need to have disabled parking facilities to support this;</p> <p>2. A domiciliary care company at 54 Skipton Road premises where care/support staff need to park for short periods of time to attend the office. As a small charity it will be unable to meet significantly increased costs for staff/client parking and would ask that the proposed plans take account of the need to be fully inclusive for all members of the local community.</p>	<p>See Comment A, also, Boarding and alighting will be allowed on all restrictions, pay and display allows for a 30 minute stay without charge. The site and implications on the business will be monitored.</p>	Objection overruled
1158	389	Resident	<p>Believes an additional taxi rank would create an increase in unnecessary vehicles on an already busy road with there being a lot of school children walking generally to and from the grammar school</p>	See Comment Y	Objection overruled

1159	390 Resident	The use of Pay and display on streets in closest proximity to the town centre appears – the use of limited stay periods of 1hr or 2hr could achieve the same result without the cost to implement/maintain and inconvenience of using for short stays	See Comment G	Objection overruled
1160		One of the largest unresolved issues remains the commuter parking within the town centre. The current proposals will alleviate the demand on the most local streets to the rail station, but fails to provide an adequate alternative.	See Comments Q and P	Objection overruled
1161		It is clear that many streets, such as South Parade, Albany Walk and others will suffer as a result of these proposals. All are within a 6-10minute walk of the rail station/town centre and will become heavily used as “day parking.” some restrictions should be implemented to avoid a shunt effect. The use of double yellow lines on one side of these roads would ensure adequate space for two way traffic is maintained.	See Comment Q	Objection overruled

1162			Parking restrictions should only be enforced during peak day periods, not from early in the morning or evening. Any road that has restrictions from 10am onwards would achieve the desired effect and stop long stay parking	See Comment W	Objection overruled
1163	391	Resident	The proposals are ill conceived, make no improvement to current parking problems, will damage the tourist trade by making visitor parking confusing and onerous and will increase parking difficulties for residents and the business community	See Comments P and E	Objection overruled
1164			Only benefit is increased cash flow for the Council	See Comment L	Objection overruled
1165	392	Business	As a small business trading from the centre of Ilkley, this will introduce new barriers to trade and employment. Would prefer to see the introduction of additional parking rather than a levy on existing parking.	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
1166			More visitors park in Tesco's car park taking up valuable customer spaces.	Tesco's may decide to manage use of their car park as they deem fit. Officers can advise further if necessary	Objection overruled
1167			Parking charges will drive visitors and shoppers out of Ilkley	See Comment E	Objection overruled

1168	393	Store Manager	Has limited parking spaces in car park and my customers already struggle to park due to visitors to the town centre parking in our car park, the proposed changes will impact our customers and trade massively. Ultimately resulting in a drop in numbers visiting Ilkley and in turn effecting the local economy.	Tescos may decide to manage use of their car park as they deem fit. Officers can advise further if necessary	Objection overruled
1169	394	Not given	Residents permit parking needed on Crossbeck Road	See Comment AJ	Objection overruled
1170	395		There approx 6 more places on The Grove outside the restaurants at the Remembrance Garden end which currently have double yellow lines on for no real reason ..they could be released for parking.	Officers will investigate and relax if appropriate	Objection part upheld
1171	396		What about obliging Network Rail to open up the arches on Railway road as I believe they were closed up many years ago. They could surely charge for that to cover the costs	The matter can be raised with Network Rail	Noted

1172	397	Business	Students attend a 5 day course, would cost an extra £25 per week to attend the course	Paid for parking is available, as the business is slightly away from the centre, free unrestricted parking will still be available a short distance away.	Objection overruled
1173	398	Resident	How is the permit scheme going to affect the objector and how much will permits cost?	The objector will be eligible for both residents and visitor permits to allow parking in the residential areas, they will be issued free of charge	Noted
1174			The changes will mean vehicles will park further out of town	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1175			Has thought been given to business owners who live outside Ilkley and commute?	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1176			Ilkley will suffer, visitors will go elsewhere and businesses will suffer	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1177	399	Not given	The proposals are unpopular with the business community	Noted	Noted
1178			Since no additional parking is to be created, the problem with commuter parking will simply be shifted	See Comments C and Q	Objection overruled
1179			The proposed long stay charges are too low at £5	The charges are designed to provide a financial disincentive to long stay parking, while still retaining that option when alternatives are not available.	Objection overruled

1180		Once introduced, charges for residents permits will inevitably increase.	There are presently no proposals to charge for residents and visitor permits	Objection overruled
1181		Once implemented, they will be difficult to undo if they do not work	The scheme will be monitored.	Objection overruled
1182	400 Resident	It will substantially reduce available parking with no arrangements for extra parking	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1183		The problem will be shifted to other residents	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1184		People shopping in Ilkley will be put off by the charges	See Comment E	Objection overruled
1185		There is no adequate provision for all day parking, commuters will park in Ben Rhydding and Burley in Wharfedale	See Comments C and F	Objection overruled
1186		Why is Stockeld Road included?	Limited waiting is proposed on Stockeld Road, not residents parking. This will ensure it doesn't become attractive to all day parkers and maintains parking turnover for the immediate area.	Objection overruled
1187		Crossbeck Road will be affected significantly, increasing road safety concerns	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1188		Taxi provision seems inadequate	See Comment Y	Objection overruled
1189			The objector opes the restrictions crossing the New Bridge will not be lost	The temporary restrictions are proposed to remain



1190	401	Resident	Hopes that if displacement occurs on the North Bank, residential parking will be introduced at once	See Comment Q	Objection overruled
1191			Park and ride to be constructed at Ben Rhydding and Addingham	See Comment AL	Objection overruled
1192	402	Resident	Meters will only put more pressure on people coming to work in Ilkley	See Comment A	Objection overruled
1193			What affect on businesses?	See Comments A and E	Objection overruled
1194			Why did the Council never make another car park?	See Comment P	Objection overruled
1195	403	Resident	Will the Council be issueing residents parking notices?	Residents on the objectors road will be able to apply for residents parking permits but will still be required to pay for parking in the town centre if staying for more than 30 minutes.	Noted / Overruled
1196	404	Resident	Objects to long stay parking blocking access to property on Grove Road	Agreed, proposals will be amended	Objection upheld
1197	405	Resident	There is insufficient long stay parking for commuters and those who work in Ilkley	See Comments A and C	Objection overruled
1198			Create a multi storey car park on top of the Tesco site.	See Comment P	Objection overruled