

Saltaire World Heritage Site Steering Group – Meeting 12
Meeting held on 17th August 2015
Action Notes

Present: Cllr Val Slater (VS) Chair, Bradford Council, Cllr Peter Ashton (PA), Baildon Town Council, Andrew Mason (AM), Newmason Properties, David Ford (DF), Traders Association, Rob Martin (RM), Saltaire Stories, Helen Thornton (HT), Bradford Council, Cllr Martin Love (ML), Bradford Council, Craig Broadwith (CB), Historic England, Liz Bailey (for Paula Truman) (LB), Bradford Council, Vanessa Pilny (VP), Saltaire Village Society, Ian Durham (for Nav Chohan) (ID), Shipley College, Jo Lintonbon, ICOMOS-UK, Paul Hogg (PH), BDCT, Susan Brearley (SB), Incommunities, Bill Froggatt, CRT. Rachel Oxborough (for Patricia Tillotson) (RO), Bradford Council

Agenda Item	Record	Action
1 and 2. Welcome, introductions and apologies	Apologies: , Henry Owen-John (HO-J), Historic England (International Advisor), Nav Chohan, Shipley College, Tricia Tillotson, Bradford Council Tourism, Paula Truman, Ward Officer. Thanks to Shipley College for providing the venue and refreshments	
3. Matters Arising	<p>a. The RTPI award for the Management Plan was announced. Steering Group members could borrow the award for display in their reception areas. HT to explore.</p> <p>b. VP asked for an update on the recent Area Committee which received the second Trees petition. Cllr S explained that Executive Committee had asked for a report from Area Committee about their recommendations and she did not know the timescale for this.</p>	HT

	<p>c. RM said that if this Group only met twice a year then he did not feel this was enough to cover consultations. Cllr S stated that this was an issue for the Neighbourhood Team and Saltaire Village Society. HT stated that an Officer Group would convened as soon as possible. Cllr S stated that the setts scheme (on the agenda later) would be consulted with Ward Members.</p> <p>d. DF asked whether we should consider co-opting other residents. PH replied that he understood our Terms of Reference to mean that co-option was possible but for expert witnesses for specific projects. He felt the issue was about communication rather than consultation and that Bradford Council's Comms Team needed to think about getting more information out to the public. JL felt there had been a lot of mis-information circulating on the Victoria Road Scheme. RM felt the next Heritage Forum (30th Sept – all invited) needed to be very positive.</p> <p>VP felt that the 'Saltaire Trees' group felt 'out of things' and needed including somehow. Cllr S – this new group were a vocal minority and their accountability could not be established.</p> <p>e. PA announced the appointment of Hailey Gillard at the reopened Brackenhall Countryside Centre. From 16th April it would be open through the week and before then open at weekends.</p>	<p>HT</p> <p>HT</p>
--	--	---------------------

--	--	--

<p>4. Victoria Road Scheme update</p>	<p>HT had provided a Tour of the Site which was appreciated by the Steering Group. AM led a vote of thanks for the tour. Various issues noted during the tour.</p> <p>Additional discussion on the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. a possible 'opening event' for the scheme ('ribbon cutting') to be scheduled for World Heritage Weekend 16/17th April if possible. Ideas to be presented at the next meeting (Feb 2016). b. VP suggested approaching Network Rail to improve the look of the reverse of their sign at the north entrance <p>Setts Scheme</p> <p>HT presented four possible options for the setts in Victoria Square and explained various design, accessibility, highway, parking and conservation issues which needed consideration when designing the scheme.</p> <p>Option 1 was unanimously supported by the Steering Group with Option 3 (without tarmac?) being a second choice.</p> <p>AM felt Option 2 and 4 were too 'domestic'. JL strongly supported Option 1 in terms of including the lions. ID felt</p>	<p>ALL</p> <p>HT</p> <p>HT</p>
---------------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

	<p>that we shouldn't delineate the access strip with a coloured material or white lining. LB felt we should explore banning parking altogether from the access strip. PH felt we could learn lessons from Haworth Main Street. VP wondered if we could extend a possible TRO to include Mawson Street.</p> <p>Several people strongly supported the proposal to alter parking arrangements in the Square to again improve the views and the public realm. HT would be pursuing a Traffic Regulation Order to rationalise these issues.</p> <p>CB suggested a bonded aggregate appropriately coloured might be introduced to Option 3 if that Option became preferred. This would enable white lines to be marked more successfully than on setts. He also suggested looking into the use of metal studs to delineate parking bays instead of white lines.</p> <p>JL felt there needed to be muted palette in terms of choice of stone.</p> <p>It was felt that students could be educated about the use of the new space.</p> <p>It was agreed that Bradford Council would a) consult with disability groups, b) Ward Members and c) work up a more detailed Option 1 and re-present to the SG via email for their final comments</p>	<p>HT</p> <p>ID</p>
--	---	---------------------

<p>5. Management Plan – annual review</p>	<p>Before the main item was addressed PH reminded HT about the production of the Vision Scroll. HT agreed to get this out to the Group as soon as possible</p> <p>HT presented the updated Delivery Plan where every objective had a mini report on progress. She highlighted that she had indicated on the document <i>where she needed input from the Steering Group to either advocate for an objective, suggest partners and help with fundraising to implement objectives.</i> There was infact over 50k of projects currently unfunded. One urgent issue was funding the 2016 Visitor Survey. PH said he would forward contacts at Bradford University who might be able to help. RO stated that she would meet HT to discuss the format of the next survey</p> <p>The achievement of the car club objective was discussed and it was agreed it needed more publicity to be successful - HT to circulate the publicity and SG would then promote within their organisations.</p> <p>Due to lack of time further discussion of the Delivery Plan could not take place and HT asked Steering Group for their comments by 29th September. THIS HAS BEEN CHANGED TO 24th SEPTEMBER to allow for it to be digested and presented to the next Heritage Forum on 30th September.</p>	<p>HT</p> <p>ALL</p> <p>PH</p> <p>RO</p> <p>HT</p> <p>ALL</p> <p>ALL</p>
---	---	--

